



**Plano ISD Future Planning Task Force
May 5, 2022
District Transportation Services
600 SeaBrook Drive, Plano, 75023**

Task Force Attendees
Abbie Alter; Leigh Althaus; Eric Atchley; Mike Auer; Caitlin Bailey-Garafola; Nathan Barbera; Jessica Bartnick; Deni Bleggi; John Boog-Scott; Robyn Burkhead; Penny Chapman; Rick Cinclair; Andre Devillier; Suzanne Drotman; Jill Engelking; Lisa Fox; Chris Glasscock; Cody Graham; Benton Hall; Sharon Hirsch; Will Hodges; Khalid Ishaq; Marshall Jackson; Bob Kehr; Liz Lansing; Tarrah Lantz; Adrienne Mageors; Kelle Marsalis; Jenna Maynard; Octavio (Tavo) Oropeza; Dan Prendergast; Julie Richard; Coty Rodriguez-Anderson; Dina Rowe; Kale Sears; Sheetal Shant; Rekha Shenoy; Monica Shortino; Paola Sotomayor; Joanna Spiars; Ryan Steele; Kelley Thomas; Bill Tiede; Mike Waid; Dr. Sophie Zou
Staff Attendees
Selenda Anderson; Sara Bonser; Beth Brockman; Karen Buechman; Rhonda Davis; Gloriane Fernandez; Matt Frey; Katrina Hasley; Susan Hayes; Johnny Hill; Ashley Hipp; Karen Kalhoefer; Kevin Keating; Jeremy Kondrat; Kathy Kuddes; Saul Laredo; Lariza Liner; Gloria Martinez; Sherra McGaha; Bill McLaughlin; Shannon Patterson; Tony Pearson; Kara Posey; Juan Ramos; Lesley Range-Stanton; Bart Rosebure; Mark Skinner; Jeff Smith; Jill Stoker; Patrick Tanner; Dash Weerasinghe; Jason Wink; Theresa Williams
Welcome: Marshall Jackson, Task Force Chair
Task Force Chair Marshall Jasckon opened the meeting at 6:30 p.m., welcomed back and thanked the group for their attendance. Marshall then turned the meeting over to Dr. Ken Helvey.
Review of Norms: Dr Ken Helvey, Facilitator
Dr. Helvey reviewed the norms with the group, be respectful, welcome contributions of others and strive for consensus. Dr. Helvey stated we would hear a presentation from each group by the group facilitator as well as a presentation from Bond Counsel Norton Rose Fulbright. Dr. Helvey stated that there will be an optional tour of the Transportation Center after the meeting.



Bond Counsel: Norton Rose Fulbright - Kristen Savant

Dr. Helvey introduced our bond counsel. Kristen Savant of Norton Rose Fulbright US LLP. Kristen emphasized there are legislative changes to bonds that came about in 2019 after our last bond election of 2016. Kristen reviewed the timetable needed for a November 2022 election.

General Purpose Proposition - Bonds for the following capital expenditures may be submitted to voters on a single ballot

- Construction, acquisition and equipment of school buildings
- The acquisition of property or refinancing of property
- The purchase of necessary sites for schools
- The purchase of school busses
- Retrofitting of school busses
- Purchase or retrofitting of vehicles for emergency or safety purposes

According to Kristen, one of the markers to determine if a project falls under a General Purpose Proposition is whether a facility is used throughout the school day for curriculum.

Special Purpose Proposition - The purposes which require separate bond election propositions

- Stadiums with capacity of 1000 or more
- Natatorium
- Recreational facility other than a gym, playground or play area
- Performing arts facility
- Housing for teachers
- Technology equipment other than used for school security purposes or technology needed for the construction of a facility

Kristen emphasized that even though a tax rate will decrease, the language used on the bond ballot must include the statement "THIS IS A PROPERTY TAX INCREASE"

Kristen then reviewed information on the contents of the Debt Obligation Order

- Ballot proposition
- Purpose of authorization
- Amount of debt
- The taxes needed to pay the principal and interest
- Estimated tax rate of maximum interest rate
- Maximum maturity not to exceed 40 years (Plano has approved no more than 20 years on debt)
- Outstanding principal
- Outstanding interest
- Debt service tax rate per \$100 valuation of taxable property

Bond Counsel assures the following in a bond election:

An officer or employee cannot spend public funds on political advertising including time and equipment used, and the factual information presented cannot advocate for or against a



measure.

Work Group Facilitators

Each work group facilitator then gave a presentation to the entire task force of their groups' ranking for each prospective project.

Group 1 - Safety and Security: The facilitator reviewed 8 possible projects, 7 projects ranked critical, building exterior and parking lot cameras; window film; alarm panel upgrades; access control system upgrades; security camera improvements; camera lifecycles; 2 way radio replacements. 1 project was ranked high with the group, centralized emergency operations location.

Group 2 - Technology: The facilitator reviewed 14 possible projects of which all were categorized as critical, network tech room; cabling; uninterruptible power supply; infrastructure equipment; wireless systems; fiber maintenance; voip; classroom multimedia av replace and upgrades; mobile 1:1 replace and upgrades; end user tech replace and upgrades; learning media service replace and upgrades; copy machine replacement; data center upgrades; enterprise systems/applications.

Group 3 - Athletics: The facilitator reviewed 46 possible projects of which 43 were ranked as critical, 2 ranked as high and 1 ranked as desired. Projects were designated by senior high schools, stadiums and general athletics. For the senior high's: locker room renovations; tennis court replacements/storage/restrooms; baseball locker rooms; softball locker rooms; softball covered hitting tunnels; 8' fence around tracks; update the campus SAC's; aux. field LED lights; batting tunnel for SAC's all were designated as critical. For the stadiums- Clark stadium resurface parking lot and security lighting; Kimbrough stadium wrought iron fence; colored safety LED lights; triple fields restrooms; Williams stadium added security lights; baseball and softball internet were all listed as critical. The Clark stadium marquee was designated as high. For general athletics - Drill/cheer uniform replace; Turf; middle school tracks; uniform/equipment refresh; additional gym space or 3 SHS were classified as critical. Event Center was classified as high and high school tracks identified as desired.

Group 3 - Fine Arts: The facilitator reviewed 7 possible projects, 5 were ranked as critical, 1 ranked as high and 1 ranked desired. Stage upgrades and ticketing; music (Band tower replacements; instrument and uniform replacements; performance equipment replacements); theater (rigging, pay set replace, tools, lighting); Art (ES art sinks, pottery wheels, kiln replacements, instructional tech upgrades); Band trailers were ranked classified. Robinson FAC Outdoor performance equip. ranked desired; shortening uniform rotation schedule for uniforms ranked high.

Group 4 - CTE and Innovative Programs: The facilitator reviewed 11 possible projects, 9 of which were ranked as critical, 1 ranked as high and 1 ranked as desired. A career and



technology education center; agricultural classroom expansion; MS and HS family consumer science lab classrooms; Academy HS CTE equip; other CTE equip; Holified outdoor learning center renovation; updates to science areas for safety (eye washes, showers); and calculator replacement were all ranked critical. A work space for itinerant staff was ranked high and Inspire at Bird and Harrington was ranked desired. 3 items were removed from CTE possible projects.

Group 5 - Transportation: The facilitator reviewed 7 possible projects, 6 were ranked as critical and 1 ranked as high. Buses and enhancements; the district white fleet and maintenance; logistic system with safety features; fuel storage tanks and the transportation building renovation were all classified as critical. The expansion of the Murphy distribution center parking lot was ranked as high.

Group 6 - Facilities and Infrastructure: The facilitator reviewed 40 possible projects, 36 were identified as critical, 2 identified as high and 2 identified as desired. Projects were designated as replacement buildings, new buildings and additions, major renovations, minor renovations and maintaining the infrastructure (systems and compliance). Forman ES Replacement; new added capacities of the dining additions at Beaty, Pearson and Issacs; major renovations at Williams, Plano Senior and Clark; kitchen renovations at Frankford MS, Murphy MS, Beverly, Boggess, Centennial, Hightower, Stinson, Wyatt Elementary schools; Clark and Kimbrough stadium kitchen renovations were ranked as critical. Minor renovations include Plano East and West renovations; service center renovation; Shepard and Saigling ES renovation; Davis, Dooley, Harrington, Hunt, McCall and Wells ES kitchen upgrades were identified as critical. Projects for maintenance of the infrastructure include, systems and compliance; added systems and compliance for Vines, Carpenter and Armstrong (removed from building replacement); upper and lower ES playgrounds; capital improvement projects and equipment replacement were ranked as critical. Haggard MS replacement or renovation and the health/PE storage storage building was ranked at high. A dedicated professional learning space and renovations to the Cox building were ranked as desired.

Next Steps: Dr Ken Helvey

Dr. Helvey thanked everyone for their hard work and presentations. He stated the group will meet on May 26, 2022 to prioritize projects.

Dr. Helvey reminded the group there is an optional tour of the District Transportation Center. Meeting concluded at 9:30 p.m.