Plano Independent School District

Daffron Elementary

2020-2021



Board Approval Date: October 20, 2020

Mission Statement

We are here to ensure high levels of learning for all students.

Vision

To provide a strong educational foundation so children can be the best they can be". Teachers instill a sense of success for all students. At Daffron, we strive to improve academic achievement for all students and believe that all students are entitled to a high-quality education.

Table of Contents

Comprehensive Needs Assessment	4
Demographics	4
Student Learning	4
School Processes & Programs	5
Perceptions	6
Priority Problem Statements	7
Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation	8
Goals	9
Goal 1: DIP - Plano ISD will increase student learning as evidenced by an increase in the percentage of students performing at the Meets grade level standard on STAAR/EOC Reading and STAAR Writing from 2019 to 2021.	10
Goal 2: DIP - Plano ISD will increase student learning as evidenced by an increase in the percentage of students performing at the Meets grade level standard on STAAR/EOC Math from 2019 to 2021.	18
Goal 3: DIP - Plano ISD will increase student learning in Science as evidenced by an increase in the percentage of students performing at the Meets grade level standard on STAAR/EOC Science from 2019 to 2021.	24
2020-21 SBIC	27
Addendums	28

Comprehensive Needs Assessment

Demographics

Demographics Summary

More than half of our population is hispanic. We have 37% attending the bilingual program. We have 41% of our students meet the criteria to be economically disadvantaged. We have 11% of the population is in the gifted program. Our gender makeup is relatively the same with 49% female and 51% male. There is 12% that receive accommodations or modifications toward their academic success. In 2016 had a total of 530 students with 32% free or reduced lunch. In 2019 we had a total population of 669 students with 49% free or reduced lunch.

Demographics Strengths

Daffron continues to adjust well in terms of utilizing space and hiring professional staff to support the growing bilingual population. Parental engagement across socio-economic levels and with different races in school functions is strong. This includes Title 1 math and reading nights, bilingual parent nights, grade level performances and PTA events. Daffron staff members advocate for students to qualify for gifted and talented services, including those receiving ESL/Bilingual services and those designated as economically disadvantaged.

Problem Statements Identifying Demographics Needs

Problem Statement 1: On the 2018-19 STAAR Assessments, 69% of students identified as Economically Disadvantaged were at approaches grade level or above; 34% were at meets grade level standard or above; and 14% were at masters grade level standard. **Root Cause:** We will address the need for effective collaborative team planning to ensure quality instruction in every classroom.

Student Learning

Student Learning Summary

Daffron Elementary Goals Including HB3 Goals: (see tables in addendum): Individual goals are set for each student group with the expectation of high standards as well as to narrow the gap between each group and the All Students group. If the student group is below the All Students group, that student group's goal for 2024 is to narrow the performance gap by half as well as meet the overall percentage increase in the STAAR Meets Grade Level performance required for the All Students group.

Problem Statements Identifying Student Learning Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): On the 2018-19 STAAR Reading Assessment, 83% of students were at approaches grade level or above; 60% were at meets grade level standard or above; and 34% of students were at masters grade level standard. The 2019 Projected Growth Summary (PGR) showed that 1st grade reading was below the standard deviation in reading quintiles 1, 2, 3, and 4. Root Cause: effective collaborative team planning to create clear and measurable learning goals for intervention and differentiation is needed.

Problem Statement 2 (Prioritized): There is a gap between the All student group Meets performance level on STAAR Reading (60%) and the Special Education (19%) and Economically Disadvantaged (40%) student groups. **Root Cause:** Effective collaborative team planning to create clear and measurable learning goals for all students for intervention and differentiation is needed.

Problem Statement 3 (Prioritized): There is a gap between the All student group Meets performance level on STAAR Math (62%) and the Special Education (25%) and Economically Disadvantaged (39%) student groups. **Root Cause:** Effective collaborative team planning to create clear and measurable learning goals for all students for intervention and differentiation is needed.

Problem Statement 4 (Prioritized): There is a gap between the All student group Meets performance level on STAAR Writing (37%) and the Special Education (0%) and Economically Disadvantaged (22%) student groups. **Root Cause:** Effective collaborative team planning to create clear and measurable learning goals for all students for intervention and differentiation is needed.

Problem Statement 5 (Prioritized): There is a gap between the All student group Meets performance level on STAAR Science (43%) and the English Learner (19%) and Economically Disadvantaged (16%) student groups. **Root Cause:** Effective collaborative team planning to create clear and measurable learning goals for all students for intervention and differentiation is needed.

School Processes & Programs

School Processes & Programs Summary

We have begun to implement processes on a surface level but need to dig deeper in order to truly positively impact students.

School Processes & Programs Strengths

- Teacher voice through leadership
- Training on formative assessments and understand it
- Specialists supporting students
- Meeting weekly at common time for planning

Problem Statements Identifying School Processes & Programs Needs

Problem Statement 1: Collaborative teams are not utilizing each component of the recommended PLC process of the Collaborative Team Framework. **Root Cause:** Consistent and targeted professional learning and regular accountability are needed in order to ensure the implementation of the PLC process with fidelity.

Perceptions

Perceptions Summary

Staff and Parents percieve the school as being friendly and safe. Staff and Parents feel that procedures and rules are not clearly stated/have been established.

Perceptions Strengths

Parents feel comfortable calling teachers with concerns, and feel staff is friendly and helpful. Parents do feel that our school is a safe place. Several bilingual parents participated and stated their appreciation. Note: Only 144 parents responded to the survey, which is about a 1/3 of our population. Teachers mainly feel the school is a safe and orderly environment. According to walk throughs, evidence of learning is mainly student created.

Problem Statements Identifying Perceptions Needs

Problem Statement 1: 2018-19 survey data shows 4.2 out 5 parents and 4.4 out of 5 staff shared they felt the school's expectations and rules are not clearly defined. **Root Cause:** School-wide and campus area specific expectations are not clearly defined, agreed upon, or implemented.

Priority Problem Statements

Problem Statement 1: On the 2018-19 STAAR Reading Assessment, 83% of students were at approaches grade level or above; 60% were at meets grade level standard or above; and 34% of students were at masters grade level standard. The 2019 Projected Growth Summary (PGR) showed that 1st grade reading was below the standard deviation in reading quintiles 1, 2, 3, and 4.

Root Cause 1: effective collaborative team planning to create clear and measurable learning goals for intervention and differentiation is needed.

Problem Statement 1 Areas: Student Learning

Problem Statement 2: There is a gap between the All student group Meets performance level on STAAR Reading (60%) and the Special Education (19%) and Economically Disadvantaged (40%) student groups.

Root Cause 2: Effective collaborative team planning to create clear and measurable learning goals for all students for intervention and differentiation is needed.

Problem Statement 2 Areas: Student Learning

Problem Statement 3: There is a gap between the All student group Meets performance level on STAAR Science (43%) and the English Learner (19%) and Economically Disadvantaged (16%) student groups.

Root Cause 3: Effective collaborative team planning to create clear and measurable learning goals for all students for intervention and differentiation is needed.

Problem Statement 3 Areas: Student Learning

Problem Statement 4: There is a gap between the All student group Meets performance level on STAAR Writing (37%) and the Special Education (0%) and Economically Disadvantaged (22%) student groups.

Root Cause 4: Effective collaborative team planning to create clear and measurable learning goals for all students for intervention and differentiation is needed. Problem Statement 4 Areas: Student Learning

Problem Statement 5: There is a gap between the All student group Meets performance level on STAAR Math (62%) and the Special Education (25%) and Economically Disadvantaged (39%) student groups.

Root Cause 5: Effective collaborative team planning to create clear and measurable learning goals for all students for intervention and differentiation is needed.

Problem Statement 5 Areas: Student Learning

Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation

The following data were used to verify the comprehensive needs assessment analysis:

Improvement Planning Data

• District goals

Accountability Data

- Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR) data
- Student Achievement Domain
- Student Progress Domain
- Closing the Gaps Domain
- Comprehensive, Targeted, and/or Additional Targeted Support Identification data
- Accountability Distinction Designations
- Federal Report Card Data

Student Data: Assessments

• Running Records results

Student Data: Student Groups

- · Special education/non-special education population including discipline, progress and participation data
- Response to Intervention (RtI) student achievement data

Student Data: Behavior and Other Indicators

- Attendance data
- Mobility rate, including longitudinal data
- Enrollment trends

Employee Data

- Staff surveys and/or other feedback
- Teacher/Student Ratio

Parent/Community Data

• Parent surveys and/or other feedback

Support Systems and Other Data

- Organizational structure data
- Processes and procedures for teaching and learning, including program implementation

Goals

Revised/Approved: September 22, 2020

Goal 1: DIP - Plano ISD will increase student learning as evidenced by an increase in the percentage of students performing at the Meets grade level standard on STAAR/EOC Reading and STAAR Writing from 2019 to 2021.

Performance Objective 1: HB3 - The percent of Daffron 3rd grade students that score meets grade level or above on STAAR Reading will increase from 58% in 2019 to 60% by June 2021. The Special Education student group performance will increase from 11% in 2019 to 14% in 2021. The English Learner student group performance will increase from 38% in 2019 to 42% in 2021.

HB3 Goal

Evaluation Data Sources: 2021 STAAR Reading - 3rd Grade

Summative Evaluation: Significant progress made toward meeting Objective

Strategy 1 Details	Formative Reviews		
Strategy 1: Continue to refine use of the PISD Collaborative Team Framework to move from compliance to commitment.	Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: There will be an increase in collective efficacy and student achievement. Overall, the instructional program will be strengthened.	Nov	June	
 Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators Instructional Specialists Collaborative Team Leaders District Representatives Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 Problem Statements: Student Learning 1, 2 	30%	55%	75%
Strategy 2 Details	Formative Reviews		iews
Strategy 2: Instructional Specialists will join collaborative teams to support in planning for tier I and II instruction, designing common formative assessments, and analyzing data to monitor student progress and to adjust instruction.	Formative		Ŧ
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: There will be an increase in student achievement and the instructional program will be strengthened.	Nov 50%	Feb	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators Instructional Specialists	50%	73%	100%
Team Leaders			
Teachers			
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math			
Problem Statements: Student Learning 1, 2			

Strategy 3 Details	For	Formative Reviews	
Strategy 3: Continue to provide professional learning on targeted interventions and documentation to meet the needs of students not		Formative	
 mastering grade level standards. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Growth in students not meeting grade level expectations will be maximized through targeted intervention. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administration Instructional Specialists Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math Problem Statements: Student Learning 1, 2 	Nov 50%	Feb	June
Strategy 4 Details	For	mative Revi	iews
Strategy 4: Continue to provide professional learning on best practices to implement effective guided reading. This will include an		Formative	
opportunity for teachers to observe model lessons and reflect on their growth in implementing guided reading and next steps for continuous improvement.	Nov	Feb	June
 Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Teachers will implement targeted reading instruction so that students increase their instructional reading level. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators Instructional Specialists Teachers Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math 	30%	30%	50%
Problem Statements: Student Learning 1, 2			
Strategy 5 Details	For	mative Revi	iews
Strategy 5: Develop a process for data meetings each semester as collaborative teams to analyze assessment data for instructional planning and reteaching.	N	Formative	T
 Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Analysis of student data will provide the best opportunity for teachers to target instruction to meet all student needs. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators Instructional Specialists Team Leaders Teachers Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4 	Nov	Feb	June 75%
Problem Statements: 2.4 Problem Statements: Student Learning 1, 2			

Strategy 6 Details	For	mative Rev	iews
Strategy 6: Provide ongoing professional learning and support in language development and acquisition.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: There will be an increase in student achievement and the instructional program will be strengthened.	t and the instructional program will be Nov Feb	Nov Feb	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators ESL Specialists	0%	50%	75%
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math			
Problem Statements: Student Learning 1, 2			
Strategy 7 Details	For	mative Rev	iews
Strategy 7: Provide professional learning in the area of HRS Level 2 and effective instruction to begin to develop a campus Instructional		Formative	
Model that supports the district's Instructional Model.	Nov	Feb	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: A stronger understanding of research-based instructional methods/best practices that will increase student achievement.			
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators Instructional Specialists Team Leaders Teachers	0%	6 50%	50%
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math			
Problem Statements: Student Learning 1, 2			
Funding Sources: - 211 Title I, Part A - \$7,189			
Strategy 8 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 8: Provide ongoing communication with parents to engage them in the education process, including pertinent weekly updates		Formative	
from the grade level as well as the school; parent information night; parent-teacher conferences; family/school compact; tier II letters; and family education nights as allowable.	Nov	Feb	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: There will be an increase in student achievement and the instructional program will be strengthened.	50%	75%	75%
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators Staff			
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 3.1, 3.2			
Problem Statements: Student Learning 1, 2			
\sim No Progress \sim Accomplished \rightarrow Continue/Modify \times Discontinue/	ie		

Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:

Student Learning

Problem Statement 1: On the 2018-19 STAAR Reading Assessment, 83% of students were at approaches grade level or above; 60% were at meets grade level standard or above; and 34% of students were at masters grade level standard. The 2019 Projected Growth Summary (PGR) showed that 1st grade reading was below the standard deviation in reading quintiles 1, 2, 3, and 4. **Root Cause**: effective collaborative team planning to create clear and measurable learning goals for intervention and differentiation is needed.

Problem Statement 2: There is a gap between the All student group Meets performance level on STAAR Reading (60%) and the Special Education (19%) and Economically Disadvantaged (40%) student groups. **Root Cause**: Effective collaborative team planning to create clear and measurable learning goals for all students for intervention and differentiation is needed.

Goal 1: DIP - Plano ISD will increase student learning as evidenced by an increase in the percentage of students performing at the Meets grade level standard on STAAR/EOC Reading and STAAR Writing from 2019 to 2021.

Performance Objective 2: The percent of Daffron students that score Meets grade level or above on STAAR Reading 3-5 will increase from 60% in 2019 to 62% by June 2021. The Special Education student group performance will increase from 19% in 2019 to 22% in 2021. The Economically Disadvantaged student group performance will increase from 40% in 2019 to 45% in 2021.

Evaluation Data Sources: 2021 STAAR Reading

Summative Evaluation: Significant progress made toward meeting Objective

Strategy 1 Details	Formative Reviews		
Strategy 1: Continue to refine use of the PISD Collaborative Team Framework to move from compliance to commitment.	Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: There will be an increase in collective efficacy and student achievement. Overall, the instructional program will be strengthened.	Nov	Feb	June
 Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators Instructional Specialists Collaborative Team Leaders District Representatives Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 Problem Statements: Student Learning 1, 2 Funding Sources: Professional Learning - Lead4ward Conference - 211 Title I, Part A - \$6,045 	30%	55%	75%
Strategy 2 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 2: Instructional Specialists will join collaborative teams to support in planning for tier I and II instruction, designing common		Formative	
formative assessments, and analyzing data to monitor student progress and to adjust instruction.	Nov	Feb	June
 Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: There will be an increase in student achievement and the instructional program will be strengthened. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators Instructional Specialists Team Leaders Teachers 	50%	75%	100%

Strategy 3 Details	For	Formative Reviews		
Strategy 3: Continue to provide professional learning on targeted interventions and documentation to meet the needs of students not		Formative		
 mastering grade level standards. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Growth in students not meeting grade level expectations will be maximized through targeted intervention. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administration Instructional Specialists Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math Problem Statements: Student Learning 1, 2 	Nov 50%	Feb 75%	June 85%	
Strategy 4 Details	For	mative Rev	iews	
Strategy 4: Continue to provide professional learning on best practices to implement effective guided reading. This will include an		Formative		
opportunity for teachers to observe model lessons and reflect on their growth in implementing guided reading and next steps for continuous improvement.	Nov	Feb	June	
 Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Teachers will implement targeted reading instruction so that students increase their instructional reading level. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators Instructional Specialists Teachers Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math Problem Statements: Student Learning 1, 2 	30%	30%	30%	
Strategy 5 Details	For	mative Rev	iews	
Strategy 5: Develop a process for data meetings each semester as collaborative teams to analyze assessment data for instructional		Formative		
 planning and reteaching. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Analysis of student data will provide the best opportunity for teachers to target instruction to meet all student needs. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators Instructional Specialists Team Leaders Teachers Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4 Problem Statements: Student Learning 1, 2 	Nov 0%	Feb	June 75%	

Strategy 6 Details	For	mative Revi	iews	
Strategy 6: Provide ongoing professional learning and support in language development and acquisition.		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: There will be an increase in student achievement and the instructional program will be strengthened.	e instructional program will be Nov Fe	Nov Feb		
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators ESL Specialists	0%	50%	75%	
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math				
Problem Statements: Student Learning 1, 2				
Strategy 7 Details	For	ews		
Strategy 7: Provide professional learning in the area of HRS Level 2 and effective instruction to begin to develop a campus Instructional				
Model that supports the district's Instructional Model.	Nov	Feb	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: A stronger understanding of research-based instructional methods/best practices that will increase student achievement.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators Instructional Specialists Team Leaders	0%	50%	50%	
Teachers				
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math				
Problem Statements: Student Learning 1, 2				
Funding Sources: Extra Duty for After School Tutoring - 211 Title I, Part A - \$17,920				
Image: No Progress Image: Accomplished Image: Continue/Modify Image: Continue/Modify	ie			

Performance Objective 2 Problem Statements:

Student Learning

Problem Statement 1: On the 2018-19 STAAR Reading Assessment, 83% of students were at approaches grade level or above; 60% were at meets grade level standard or above; and 34% of students were at masters grade level standard. The 2019 Projected Growth Summary (PGR) showed that 1st grade reading was below the standard deviation in reading quintiles 1, 2, 3, and 4. **Root Cause**: effective collaborative team planning to create clear and measurable learning goals for intervention and differentiation is needed.

Problem Statement 2: There is a gap between the All student group Meets performance level on STAAR Reading (60%) and the Special Education (19%) and Economically Disadvantaged (40%) student groups. **Root Cause**: Effective collaborative team planning to create clear and measurable learning goals for all students for intervention and differentiation is needed.

Goal 1: DIP - Plano ISD will increase student learning as evidenced by an increase in the percentage of students performing at the Meets grade level standard on STAAR/EOC Reading and STAAR Writing from 2019 to 2021.

Performance Objective 3: The percent of Daffron students that score Meets grade level or above on STAAR Writing 4 will increase from 37% in 2019 to 39% by June 2021. The Economically Disadvantaged student group performance will increase from 22% in 2019 to 27% in 2021. The Hispanic student group performance will increase from 21% in 2019 to 25% in 2021.

Evaluation Data Sources: 2021 STAAR Writing

Summative Evaluation: Some progress made toward meeting Objective

Strategy 1 Details	Formative Reviews		
Strategy 1: Continue to refine use of the PISD Collaborative Team Framework to move from compliance to commitment.			
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: There will be an increase in collective efficacy and student achievement. Overall, the instructional program will be strengthened.	Nov	Feb	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators Instructional Specialists Collaborative Team Leaders District Representatives Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 Problem Statements: Student Learning 4	30%	55%	75%
Strategy 2 Details	Formative Reviews		ews
Strategy 2: Instructional Specialists will join collaborative teams to support in planning for tier I and II instruction, designing common formative assessments, and analyzing data to monitor student progress and to adjust instruction.	Formative		June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: There will be an increase in student achievement and the instructional program will be strengthened.	Nov	Feb	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators Instructional Specialists Team Leaders Teachers	50%	75%	100%
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math Problem Statements: Student Learning 4			

Strategy 3 Details	For	Formative Reviews	
Strategy 3: Continue to provide professional learning on targeted interventions and documentation to meet the needs of students not		Formative	
 mastering grade level standards. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Growth in students not meeting grade level expectations will be maximized through targeted intervention. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administration Instructional Specialists Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math Problem Statements: Student Learning 4 	Nov 50%	Feb	June
Strategy 4 Details	For	mative Rev	iews
Strategy 4: Provide ongoing professional learning and support in language development and acquisition.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: There will be an increase in student achievement and the instructional program will be strengthened.	Nov	Feb	June
 Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators ESL Specialists Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math Problem Statements: Student Learning 4 	30%	50%	75%
Strategy 5 Details	For	mative Revi	iews
Strategy 5: Provide professional learning in the area of HRS Level 2 and effective instruction to begin to develop a campus Instructional Model that supports the district's Instructional Model.	Nov	Formative Feb	June
 Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: A stronger understanding of research-based instructional methods/best practices that will increase student achievement. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators Instructional Specialists Team Leaders Teachers Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math Problem Statements: Student Learning 4 	0%	50%	50%
$_{\circ\circ\circ} \text{No Progress} \qquad _{\circ\circ\circ\circ} \text{Accomplished} \qquad \text{Continue/Modify} \qquad \overleftarrow{\times} \text{Discontinue}$	ue	1	1

Performance Objective 3 Problem Statements:

 Student Learning

 Problem Statement 4: There is a gap between the All student group Meets performance level on STAAR Writing (37%) and the Special Education (0%) and Economically Disadvantaged (22%) student groups. Root Cause: Effective collaborative team planning to create clear and measurable learning goals for all students for intervention and differentiation is needed.

Goal 2: DIP - Plano ISD will increase student learning as evidenced by an increase in the percentage of students performing at the Meets grade level standard on STAAR/EOC Math from 2019 to 2021.

Performance Objective 1: HB3 - The percent of Daffron 3rd grade students that score meets grade level or above on STAAR Math will increase from 50% in 2019 to 51% by June 2021. English Learner student group performance will increase from 27% in 2019 to 29% in 2021. The Economically Disadvantaged student group performance will increase from 24% in 2019 to 28% in 2021.

HB3 Goal

Evaluation Data Sources: 2021 STAAR Math - 3rd Grade

Summative Evaluation: Some progress made toward meeting Objective

Strategy 1 Details	Formative Reviews		
Strategy 1: Continue to refine use of the PISD Collaborative Team Framework to move from compliance to commitment.	Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: There will be an increase in collective efficacy and student achievement. Overall, the instructional program will be strengthened.	Nov	Feb	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators Instructional Specialists Collaborative Team Leaders District Representatives Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6	30%	55%	75%
Problem Statements: Student Learning 3			
Strategy 2 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 2: Instructional Specialists will join collaborative teams to support in planning for tier I and II instruction, designing common		Formative	
formative assessments, and analyzing data to monitor student progress and to adjust instruction. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: There will be an increase in student achievement and the instructional program will be strengthened.	Nov	Feb	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators Instructional Specialists Team Leaders Teachers	30%	13%	100%
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math Problem Statements: Student Learning 3			

Strategy 3 Details	For	mative Revi	iews
Strategy 3: Continue to provide professional learning on targeted interventions and documentation to meet the needs of students not mastering grade level standards.		Formative	1
 Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Growth in students not meeting grade level expectations will be maximized through targeted intervention. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administration Instructional Specialists Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math Problem Statements: Student Learning 3 	Nov 50%	Feb	June
Strategy 4 Details	For	mative Revi	iews
Strategy 4: Develop a process for data meetings each semester as collaborative teams to analyze assessment data for instructional		Formative	
 planning and reteaching. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Analysis of student data will provide the best opportunity for teachers to target instruction to meet all student needs. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators Instructional Specialists Team Leaders Teachers Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4 Problem Statements: Student Learning 3 	Nov	Feb	June 75%
Strategy 5 Details	For	mative Revi	iews
Strategy 5: Provide ongoing professional learning and support in language development and acquisition; specifically using Number Talks from within PISD unit lessons.		Formative	
 Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: There will be an increase in student achievement and the instructional program will be strengthened. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators ESL Specialists Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math Problem Statements: Student Learning 3 	Nov 50%	Feb	June 75%

Strategy 6 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 6: Provide professional learning in the area of HRS Level 2 and effective instruction to begin to develop a campus Instructional	Formative		
Model that supports the district's Instructional Model.	Nov	Feb	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: A stronger understanding of research-based instructional methods/best practices that will increase student achievement.			
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators	0%	50%	50%
Instructional Specialists Team Leaders			
Teachers			
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math			
Problem Statements: Student Learning 3			
Strategy 7 Details	For	Formative Reviews	
Strategy 7: Provide ongoing communication with parents to engage them in the education process, including pertinent weekly updates		Formative	
from the grade level as well as the school; parent information night; parent-teacher conferences; family/school compact; tier II letters; and family education nights as allowable.	Nov	Feb	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: There will be an increase in student achievement and the instructional program will be strengthened.	50%	50%	75%
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators Staff			
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 3.1, 3.2			
Problem Statements: Student Learning 3			
Image: No Progress Image: Accomplished Image: Continue/Modify Image: Continue/Modify	ie		

Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:

 Student Learning

 Problem Statement 3: There is a gap between the All student group Meets performance level on STAAR Math (62%) and the Special Education (25%) and Economically Disadvantaged (39%) student groups. Root Cause: Effective collaborative team planning to create clear and measurable learning goals for all students for intervention and differentiation is needed.

Goal 2: DIP - Plano ISD will increase student learning as evidenced by an increase in the percentage of students performing at the Meets grade level standard on STAAR/EOC Math from 2019 to 2021.

Performance Objective 2: The percent of Daffron students that score Meets grade level or above on STAAR Math 3-5 will increase from 62% in 2019 to 63% by June 2021. The Special Education student group performance will increase from 25% in 2019 to 28% in 2021. The Economically Disadvantaged student group performance will increase from 39% in 2019 to 43% in 2021.

Evaluation Data Sources: 2021 STAAR Math

Summative Evaluation: Some progress made toward meeting Objective

Strategy 1 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 1: Continue to refine use of the PISD Collaborative Team Framework to move from compliance to commitment.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: There will be an increase in collective efficacy and student achievement. Overall, the instructional program will be strengthened.	Nov	Feb	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators Instructional Specialists Collaborative Team Leaders District Representatives Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 Problem Statements: Student Learning 3	30%	55%	75%
Strategy 2 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 2: Instructional Specialists will join collaborative teams to support in planning for tier I and II instruction, designing common formative assessments, and analyzing data to monitor student progress and to adjust instruction.	Nov	Formative Feb	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: There will be an increase in student achievement and the instructional program will be strengthened.		reb	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators Instructional Specialists Team Leaders Teachers	50%	75%	100%
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math			
Problem Statements: Student Learning 3			

Strategy 3 Details	For	mative Revi	iews
Strategy 3: Continue to provide professional learning on targeted interventions and documentation to meet the needs of students not		Formative	
 mastering grade level standards. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Growth in students not meeting grade level expectations will be maximized through targeted intervention. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administration Instructional Specialists Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math Problem Statements: Student Learning 3 	Nov 30%	Feb	June 85%
Strategy 4 Details	For	mative Revi	iews
Strategy 4: Develop a process for data meetings each semester as collaborative teams to analyze assessment data for instructional planning and reteaching.		Formative	_
 Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Analysis of student data will provide the best opportunity for teachers to target instruction to meet all student needs. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators Instructional Specialists Team Leaders Teachers Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4 Problem Statements: Student Learning 3 	Nov 0%	Feb	June
Strategy 5 Details	For	mative Revi	iews
Strategy 5: Provide ongoing professional learning and support in language development and acquisition; specifically using Number Talks		Formative	
 from within PISD unit lessons. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: There will be an increase in student achievement and the instructional program will be strengthened. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators ESL Specialists Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math Problem Statements: Student Learning 3 	Nov 30%	Feb 75%	June 75%

Strategy 6 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 6: Provide professional learning in the area of HRS Level 2 and effective instruction to begin to develop a campus Instructional		Formative	
Model that supports the district's Instructional Model.	Nov	Feb	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: A stronger understanding of research-based instructional methods/best practices that will increase student achievement.	0.01	FOR	FOR
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators	0%	50%	50%
Instructional Specialists Team Leaders			
Teachers			
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math			
Problem Statements: Student Learning 3			
Funding Sources: Fraction Tiles - 211 Title I, Part A - \$373.20, Station Materials - 211 Title I, Part A - \$3,332.07			
Image: No Progress Image: Accomplished Image: Continue/Modify Image: Continue/Modify	ie		

Performance Objective 2 Problem Statements:

Student Learning

Problem Statement 3: There is a gap between the All student group Meets performance level on STAAR Math (62%) and the Special Education (25%) and Economically Disadvantaged (39%) student groups. **Root Cause**: Effective collaborative team planning to create clear and measurable learning goals for all students for intervention and differentiation is needed.

Goal 3: DIP - Plano ISD will increase student learning in Science as evidenced by an increase in the percentage of students performing at the Meets grade level standard on STAAR/EOC Science from 2019 to 2021.

Performance Objective 1: The percent of Daffron students that score Meets grade level or above on STAAR Science 5 will increase from 43% in 2019 to 45% by June 2021. The Economically Disadvantaged student group performance will increase from 16% in 2019 to 20% in 2021. The English Learner student group performance will increase from 19% in 2019 to 21% in 2021.

Evaluation Data Sources: 2021 STAAR Science

Summative Evaluation: Some progress made toward meeting Objective

Strategy 1 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 1: Continue to refine use of the PISD Collaborative Team Framework to move from compliance to commitment.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: There will be an increase in collective efficacy and student achievement. Overall, the instructional program will be strengthened.	Nov	Feb	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators Instructional Specialists Collaborative Team Leaders District Representatives Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 Problem Statements: Student Learning 5	30%	55%	75%
Strategy 2 Details	For	mative Revi	iews
Strategy 2: Instructional Specialists will join collaborative teams to support in planning for tier I and II instruction, designing common formative assessments, and analyzing data to monitor student progress and to adjust instruction.	N	Formative	T
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: There will be an increase in student achievement and the instructional program will be strengthened.	Nov	Feb	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators Instructional Specialists Team Leaders Teachers	50%	75%	100%
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math Problem Statements: Student Learning 5			

Strategy 3 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 3: Provide professional learning for daily science routine that includes the Science Warm-Up activity in grade 2 and Science		Formative	
Discussion Questions for grades 3-5.	Nov	Feb	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Growth in students not meeting grade level expectations will be maximized through targeted intervention.	30%	FFOX	FER
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administration Instructional Specialists	30%	55%	55%
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6			
Problem Statements: Student Learning 5			
Strategy 4 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 4: Develop a process for data meetings each semester as collaborative teams to analyze assessment data for instructional planning and reteaching.		Formative	Ŧ
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Analysis of student data will provide the best opportunity for teachers to target instruction to meet all student needs.	Nov	Feb	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators Instructional Specialists	0%	50%	75%
Team Leaders Teachers			
Problem Statements: Student Learning 5			
Strategy 5 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 5: Provide ongoing professional learning and support in language development and acquisition; specifically the use of Talk Read		Formative	
Talk Write from within PISD unit lessons.	Nov	Feb	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: There will be an increase in student achievement and the instructional program will be strengthened.	0.4	FOX	FOX
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators ESL Specialists	0%	50%	50%
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math			
Problem Statements: Student Learning 5			

Strategy 6 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 6: Provide professional learning in the area of HRS Level 2 and effective instruction to begin to develop a campus Instructional		Formative	
Model that supports the district's Instructional Model.	Nov	Feb	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: A stronger understanding of research-based instructional methods/best practices that will increase student achievement.		E any	E ave
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators Instructional Specialists	0%	50%	50%
Team Leaders			
Teachers			
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6			
Problem Statements: Student Learning 5			
Strategy 7 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 7: Provide ongoing communication with parents to engage them in the education process, including pertinent weekly updates		Formative	
from the grade level as well as the school; parent information night; parent-teacher conferences; family/school compact; tier II letters; and family education nights as allowable.	Nov	Feb	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: There will be an increase in student achievement and the instructional program will be strengthened.	50%	75%	75%
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators Staff			
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 3.1, 3.2			
Problem Statements: Student Learning 5			
Image: No Progress Image: Accomplished Image: Continue/Modify Image: Continue/Modify	ie		

Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:

 Student Learning

 Problem Statement 5: There is a gap between the All student group Meets performance level on STAAR Science (43%) and the English Learner (19%) and Economically Disadvantaged (16%) student groups. Root Cause: Effective collaborative team planning to create clear and measurable learning goals for all students for intervention and differentiation is needed.

2020-21 SBIC

Committee Role	Name	Position
Administrator	M'Cheyl Herrera	Assistant Principal
Administrator	Stefanie Ramos	Principal
Classroom Teacher	Beth Brown	Classroom Teacher
Non-classroom Professional	Cathy Chomistek	Campus Instructional Specialist
Classroom Teacher	Deana Chandler	Special Education Teacher
Paraprofessional	Jasmine Guerra	Physical Education Assistant
Classroom Teacher	Jessica Lerner	Classroom Teacher
Non-classroom Professional	Julie Steele	Counselor
Classroom Teacher	Maribel Quiroz	Classroom Teacher
Non-classroom Professional	Monica Diaz	ESL Specialist
Non-classroom Professional	Paula Diaz	Bilingual Instructional Specialist
District-level Professional	Tita Matamoros	Multilingual Academic Services Coordinator
Community Representative	Shari Hopkins	Community Representative
Parent	Alfonso Chacin	Parent
Parent	Anselmo Gonzalez	Parent
Parent	Jason Tyra	Parent
Parent	Lauren Tyra	Parent
Parent	Lisa Dixon	Parent
Parent	Michele Townes	Parent
Community Representative	Jenny Sideris	Community Representative
Business Representative	John Maroney	Chick-Fil-A
Business Representative	Luis Mazariego	Mooyah Burger

Addendums

				Daffro	on - STA	AR Gra	ade 4 W	/riting						
The percer	nt of 4th grad	e students t	hat score M	eets grade l	evel or abov	ve on STAAF	NWriting Gra	ade 4 will in	crease from	37% in 201	9 to 39% by	/ June 2021.		
					Voorly	Torgot	Coole							
					rearry	[,] Target	Goals							
2020			2021			2022			2023			2024		
38% 2019 Baseline:	37%		39%			41%			43%			45%		
			Closir	ng the G	aps Stu	ident G	roups Y	early Ta	argets					
	African American	Hispanic	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	Special Ed	Eco. Disadv.	Special Ed (Former)	EL	Cont. Enrolled	Non-Cont. Enrolled	All
2019 Baseline	36	21	46	*	50	*	*	0	22	43	33	38	36	37
2020	37	23	46	*	50	*	*	1	24	44	34	38	37	38
2021	40	25	47	*	51	*	*	2	27	46	36	39	39	39
2022	43	29	47	*	51	*	*	4	31	48	39	39	41	41
2023	47	33	48	*	52	*	*	7	36	51	42	40	44	43
2024	52	39	50	*	54	*	*	10	42	54	47	42	47	45
2019-2021	4	4	1	*	1	*	*	2	5	3	3	1	3	2
2019 Baseline	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
2020	1	2	0	1	0	1	1	1	2	1	1	0	1	1
2021	4	4	1	4	1	2	2	2	5	3	3	1	3	2
2022	7	8	1	7	1	4	4	4	9	5	6	1	5	4
2023	11	12	2	11	2	6	6	7	14	8	9	2	8	6
2024	16	18	4	16	4	8	9	10	20	11	14	4	11	8

				Daffro	on - STA	AR Gra	ade 5 So	cience						
The percen	nt of 5th grad	le students t	hat score N	leets grade l	evel or abo	ve on STAAI	R Science Gr	ade 5 will ir	crease from	43% in 201	9 to 45% by	June 2021.		
					Yearly	, Target	Goals							
2020			2021			2022			2023			2024		
44% 2019 Baseline: 4	43%		45%			46%			47%			48%		
			Closir	ng the G	iaps Stu	ident G	roups Y	early Ta	argets					
	African American	Hispanic	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	Special Ed	Eco. Disadv.	Special Ed (Former)	EL	Cont. Enrolled	Non-Cont. Enrolled	All
2019 Baseline	33	17	69	*	92	*	50	24	16	*	19	46	38	43
2020	34	18	69	*	92	*	50	25	17	*	20	46	39	44
2021	37	21	69	*	92	*	51	26	20	*	21	46	40	45
2022	40	23	70	*	93	*	52	28	23	*	22	47	42	46
2023	44	27	70	*	93	*	54	31	27	*	24	47	44	47
2024	49	32	71	*	94	*	56	34	32	*	27	48	47	48
2019-2021	4	4	0	*	0	*	1	2	4	*	2	0	2	2
2019 Baseline	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
2020	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	0	1	1
2021	4	4	0	0	0	2	1	2	4	2	2	0	2	2
2022	7	6	1	1	1	3	2	4	7	4	3	1	4	3
2023	11	10	1	1	1	4	4	7	11	6	5	1	6	4
2024	16	15	2	2	2	5	6	10	16	9	8	2	9	5

HB3 Early Childhood Campus Goals - Grade 3 STAAR at Meets Standard

2019 Rates: Based on percent of students at STAAR Grade 3 Meets Grade Level standard on Accountability Subset based on Domain 1 Rules.

2019 Number Tested: If students at Meets standard is less than 5, the number of students tested is not displayed for masking rules.

Campus 2024 Goal for each student group based on the increase for each group required at the district level.

Target for interim years based achieving increases of 12% in 2020, 15% in 2021, 18% in 2022, 25% in 2023, and 30% in 2024 of the required overall increase from 2019 to 2024.

Year	African American	Hispanic	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	Two or More	Special Ed.	Eco Disadv.	Former Spec. Ed.	EL Current	Cont. Enrolled	Non- Cont.	All
2019 # of Students		39	25		11			9	46	7	37	65	25	90
2019	50	44	72		82			11	41	57	38	60	52	58
2020	52	46	72		82			12	43	58	40	60	53	59
2021	54	49	73		83			14	46	60	42	61	55	60
2022	57	52	74		84			16	50	62	44	62	57	62
2023	61	57	75		85			18	55	65	48	63	60	64
2024	66	62	76		86			21	61	68	52	64	64	66

Reading

District Goals for Grade 3 STAAR

District 2019 Baseline	44	40	70	43	77	-	57	37	37	53	48	63	53	60
District 2024 Goal	60	58	74	60	81	68	67	47	57	65	62	67	65	68
District Increase 2019 to 2021	4	4	1	4	1	2	3	3	5	3	4	1	3	2
District Increase 2019 to 2024	16	18	4	17	4	8	10	10	20	12	14	4	12	8

HB3 Early Childhood Campus Goals - Grade 3 STAAR at Meets Standard

2019 Rates: Based on percent of students at STAAR Grade 3 Meets Grade Level standard on Accountability Subset based on Domain 1 Rules.

2019 Number Tested: If students at Meets standard is less than 5, the number of students tested is not displayed for masking rules.

Campus 2024 Goal for each student group based on the increase for each group required at the district level.

Target for interim years based achieving increases of 12% in 2020, 15% in 2021, 18% in 2022, 25% in 2023, and 30% in 2024 of the required overall increase from 2019 to 2024.

Year	African American	Hispanic	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	Two or More	Special Ed.	Eco Disadv.	Former Spec. Ed.	EL Current	Cont. Enrolled	Non- Cont.	All
2019 # of Students		39	25		11			9	46	7	37	65	25	90
2019	25	33	80		73			11	24	43	27	60	24	50
2020	27	35	80		73			12	26	44	28	60	25	51
2021	29	37	81		74			14	28	45	29	61	26	51
2022	32	40	81		74			16	31	47	31	61	28	52
2023	36	44	82		75			18	35	49	33	62	30	54
2024	41	49	83		76			21	40	52	36	63	33	55

Mathematics

District Goals for Grade 3 STAAR

District 2019 Baseline	43	44	72	71	85	-	63	40	43	57	58	67	57	65
District 2024 Goal	59	60	75	74	88	70	69	50	59	66	67	70	66	70
District Increase 2019 to 2021	4	4	1	1	1	2	2	3	4	2	2	1	2	2
District Increase 2019 to 2024	16	16	3	3	3	5	6	10	16	9	9	3	9	5

Daffron

HB3 Campus Goals - All Grades STAAR at Meets Standard

2019 Rates: Based on percent of students at STAAR All Grades Meets Grade Level standard on Accountability Subset based on Domain 1 Rules.

2019 Number Tested: If students at Meets standard is less than 5, the number of students tested is not displayed for masking rules.

Campus 2024 Goal for each student group based on the increase for each group required at the district level.

Target for interim years based achieving increases of 12% in 2020, 15% in 2021, 18% in 2022, 25% in 2023, and 30% in 2024 of the required overall increase from 2019 to 2024.

Year	African American	Hispanic	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	Two or More	Special Ed.	Eco Disadv.	Former Spec. Ed.	EL Current	Cont. Enrolled	Non- Cont.	All
2019	61	42	70		83		92	19	40	71	48	64	53	60
2020	63	44	70		83		93	20	42	72	50	64	54	61
2021	65	47	71		84		95	22	45	74	52	65	56	62
2022	68	50	72		85		96	24	49	76	54	66	58	64
2023	72	55	73		86		99	26	54	79	58	67	61	66
2024	77	60	74		87		100	29	60	82	62	68	65	68

Reading

Mathematics

Year	African American	Hispanic	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	Two or More	Special Ed.	Eco Disadv.	Former Spec. Ed.	EL Current	Cont. Enrolled	Non- Cont.	All
2019	54	45	76		86		75	25	39	53	51	70	47	62
2020	56	47	76		86		76	26	41	54	52	70	48	63
2021	58	49	77		87		77	28	43	55	53	71	49	63
2022	61	52	77		87		78	30	46	57	55	71	51	64
2023	65	56	78		88		79	32	50	59	57	72	53	66
2024	70	61	79		89		81	35	55	62	60	73	56	67

CAMPUS APPENDIX

STATE MANDATES IMPLEMENTATION REFERENCE

Texas law and Board Policies mandate the following be addressed with strategies for improving student performance. To increase the LEA's ability to focus on a limited number of targeted initiatives in this improvement plan, the LEA will plan, implement, monitor and evaluate the following mandates through other procedures and practices. When requested, the LEA Person Responsible will report progress to the site-based committee.

LEA Person Responsible for update	Mandate	Reference	Location of Documentation
Executive Director for Student & Family Services	Bullying Staff Prevention Identify high risk areas Monitor high risk areas Follow campus rules/expectations Staff Education Participate in annual staff training on bullying/sexual harassment/suicide prevention/trauma informed practices/human trafficking Review referral process Staff Intervention Establish recommended intervention strategies for classroom/campus Implement campus referral plan Utilize Discipline Management strategies Student Prevention Clearly state student expectations/campus rules/citizenship Monitor high risk areas Student Education Explain referral process/contacts Anonymous Tip Line Student Intervention Apply classroom interventions	TEC 11.252(a)(3)(E)	The school will follow the Student Handbook and Board Policies: FFI, FDB, FFF, FFH, FO, CQA, and FFB.

	 Employ discipline interventions Use other intervention strategies as necessary/appropriate Conference with parents/students 		
Principal	 Coordinated Health Program Coordinated School Health K-8 Designate a Campus Wellness Captain and establish a Campus Wellness Team; set meetings, establish measurable goals and document progress toward goal completion. K-8 Include at least one Parent on Campus Wellness Team. K-8 Ensure that all components of the Coordinated School Health curriculum are delivered in an appropriate setting, i.e. classroom component requires use of a classroom. K-8 Create a Coordinated School Health bulletin board inside the school building for students, staff and parents to view. K-8 Notify parents/community members of Family Wellness Nights/Health Fairs through use of marquee, newsletter, web page, and/or myPISD. Fitness 3-8 Pre and Post Assess all eligible students using fitness test components. 4th and 7th Ensure all data for 3rd - 8th grade students is entered on timely basis, fitness report cards are printed (4th grade and 7th grade) and sent to parents or linked through myPISD. Include at least one Parent on Campus Wellness Team. Physical Activity Requirements K-8 Campuses Only: Ensure students are receiving required physical education classes/minutes for each school year and achieving moderate to vigorous physical activity (MVPA) 50% of the physical education class period. K-8 Measure MVPA and physical activity time 	TEC 11.253(d) Board Policy FFA(Local)	The school will follow Board Policies: FFA and EHAA.

	 using pedometers and heart rate monitors. K-8 Ensure physical education staff is using a sequential and developmentally appropriate curriculum which has students active at least 70%-90% of class time. K-5 Ensure students are receiving daily unstructured play during recess. K-5 Encourage opportunities for brain breaks and short activity breaks throughout the day. Attendance K-8 Monitor attendance of students and follow up on prominent and chronic absences. 		
Principal	 Recruiting Certified Teachers and Highly-Qualified Paraprofessionals Local on-going high quality professional development based on campus needs or district identified needs is provided to all teachers in all core subject areas. Funding source: State and Local 	ESSA	
Principal	 Parent Involvement Require all parents to register students via Parent Portal in order to have access to eNews, grades, attendance, and other electronic information. Funding Sources: SCE, Title I and Local Identify parents without computer/Internet access and offer assistance through the District Mobile Technology Lab for parent education and access. Funding Sources: SCE, Title I and Local Upgrade and maintain the campus website for easy access and increased communication with the community. Funding source: State and Local Communicate information through eNews and through hard copies when Internet access is not available. Funding source: State and Local Utilize social media to keep parents and 		

 community informed. Funding source: State and Local PTA representative meets with the principal on a monthly basis to gain insight to student/parent needs. Funding source: State and Local Partner with PTA to offer parental programs on a variety of topics (academic, social, etc). Fundir source: State and Local Parent Education programs focused on relevant topics of interest will be available upon request any campus or PTA 	
---	--