Plano Independent School District Centennial Elementary

2020-2021

Accountability Rating: Not Rated: Declared State of Disaster



Board Approval Date: October 20, 2020

Mission Statement

We, Centennial Elementary, with the support of family and community, commit to academic excellence and to the development of life-long learners today, who will become responsible citizens of tomorrow.

Vision

Committed to Excellence Dedicated to Caring Powered by Learning PISD Proud

Table of Contents

Commission Needa Assessment	4
Comprehensive Needs Assessment	4
Demographics	4
Student Learning	4
School Processes & Programs	6
Perceptions	7
Priority Problem Statements	8
Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation	9
Goals	11
Goal 1: DIP - Plano ISD will increase student learning as evidenced by an increase in the percentage of students performing at the Meets grade level standard on STAAR/EOC Reading and STAAR Writing from 2019 to 2021.	12
 Goal 2: DIP - Plano ISD will increase student learning as evidenced by an increase in the percentage of students performing at the Meets grade level standard on STAAR/EOC Math from 2019 to 2021. Goal 3: DIP - Plano ISD will increase student learning in Science as evidenced by an increase in the percentage of students performing at the Meets grade level standard on STAAR/EOC Science from 2019 to 2021. 	17 21
20-21 SBIC Committee	23
Addendums	24

Comprehensive Needs Assessment

Demographics

Demographics Summary

Twenty-five languages are spoken at Centennial Elementary which serves Kindergarten through 5th grade students. Centennial, which is part of the Plano West Senior High feeder pattern of Plano ISD, is predominantly a neighborhood school. This diverse campus has many student groups. The student population is broken down by the following demographics: Asian 45.4%, White 35.2%, Hispanic 10.8%, African American 6.2% and 2 or more races 2.4%. The last published (2016-2017) mobility rate for Centennial Elementary was 11.8%, similar to the district average and below the state average (10.7% and 16% respectively). Attendance rates at Centennial have stayed consistent over the last 3 years. At 97.3%, the attendance rate is above the District and State averages. Centennial Elementary's student groups include 20% English Learners (a 3% increase from previous year), 18% Gifted and Talented, and 9% Special Education (which doubled in the past 3 years). Additionally, the District Free and Reduced Lunch Report for the 2019 fiscal year shows that 9% of the student population at Centennial Elementary is Economically Disadvantaged.

Demographics Strengths

- Economically Disadvantaged rate as of May 2019 for Centennial elementary is 10% compared to the district rate of 33%.
- Attendance rates have been consistent and are above the district and state averages.
- The mobility rate is comparable to the district and lower than the state average.

Problem Statements Identifying Demographics Needs

Problem Statement 1: The percentage of special education students has doubled in three years. Root Cause: New instructional strategies may be needed to meet the needs of all learners.

Student Learning

Student Learning Summary

Centennial Elementary Goals Including HB3 Goals: (see tables in addendum): Individual goals are set for each student group with the expectation of high standards as well as to narrow the gap between each group and the All Students group. If the student group is below the All Students group, that student group's goal for 2024 is to narrow the performance gap by half as well as meet the overall percentage increase in the STAAR Meets Grade Level performance required for the All Students group.

According to the TEA (Texas Education Agency), Centennial Elementary School has a 2019 Campus Accountability overall score of 95 (Letter Grade A). The overall score is based on the school's performance in three different domains: Students Achievement, School Progress, and Closing the Gaps. In the domain of Student Achievement, Centennial's accountability score remained the same at 94 from last year to this year. The Student Achievement domain is based on STAAR performance only (grades 3, 4, and 5) and informs us how much students know and are able to do at the end of the year. The School Progress domain informs how students are performing over time and compares that progress to similar schools. This domain is based upon Academic Growth and Relative Performance and the higher of Growth or Performance is used to determine the School Progress score. Centennial scored a 91 in Academic Growth in 2019 and the same in 2018. In the area of relative performance, Centennial's score was 82 in 2018 and 82 in 2019. The Closing the Gaps domain tells us how well different populations of students on the campus are performing. Centennial scored a 98 in 2019, and a 100 in 2018.

STAAR Data

Reading: 3rd: 91% Approaches, 58% Masters

4th: 94% Approaches, 60% Masters

5th: 96% Approaches, 58% Masters

- Math: 3rd: 96% Approaches, 55% Masters
- 4th: 92% Approaches, 69% Masters

5th: 97% Approaches, 58% Masters

Writing: 4th: 92% Approaches, 31% Masters

Science: 5th: 84% Approaches, 32% Masters

Student Learning Strengths

- Accountability rating was a 95 and letter grade A for the 18-19 school year
- Academic growth was a score of 91
- Results for different populations of students on the campus was a 98
- Distinction earned in the area of Postsecondary Readiness

Problem Statements Identifying Student Learning Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): The percentage of students at the masters level at Centennial is above district level, but below the level of "like" campuses **Root Cause:** Collaborative teams will address the need to plan for instruction that includes extending student understanding with essential concepts.

Problem Statement 2: The most significant gaps in student achievement compared to the district are in the areas of economically disadvantaged and special education students.

Problem Statement 3 (Prioritized): There is a need for a systematic approach for differentiation to meet the needs of the high and low learners **Root Cause:** Collaborative teams will plan for these groups and provide targeted instruction, based on formative and summative assessment data, to target these groups of learners.

School Processes & Programs

School Processes & Programs Summary

It is the goal of Centennial Elementary to support the learning of all its students through effective instruction in every classroom. In the 2018-2019 school year, Centennial staff embraced the High Reliability Schools (HRS) Level 1 Protocol for collaborative team planning setting the stage for instructional conversations aimed at increasing student achievement. Centennial's (Plano ISD's) curriculum is designed to infuse literacy throughout the day. The schedule is set with allotted times throughout the school day for guided reading, writing workshop, Words Their Way, learning centers, as well as a literacy content block. In addition, our K-5 classes utilize units that assist our teachers in planning literacy instruction that integrates reading and writing skills across content areas. The Master Schedule has helped to provide good collaboration amongst teams and building staff. I/E (intervention and extension) times embedded into the master schedule have provided more accountability across grade levels. Peer-to-peer, administrative and team planning Walkthroughs provide helpful feedback to staff. The turn around time of the walk through feedback is quick and effective. Vertical teams worked well in meeting consistently and focusing on vertical alignment. Centennial Elementary employs high-quality, talented staff. Centennial is fortunate to have a dedicated staff with a low turnover rate.

School Processes & Programs Strengths

- Staff use social emotional learning, morning meetings and restorative practices to manage classroom behaviors.
- Staff enjoyed the opportunity to participate in peer-to-peer walkthroughs and receive feedback from administrators as well as colleagues.
- Vertical teams play a vital role in sharing information across grade levels.
- Collaborative teams meet regularly and utilize extended planning days.

Problem Statements Identifying School Processes & Programs Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): Staff have been trained on common formative assessments, but are not regularly using them to drive instruction and intervention. Root Cause: A format for data disaggregation and a schedule for this has not been established and provided for collaborative teams.

Perceptions

Perceptions Summary

Centennial Elementary exhibits a culture of inclusivity where staff build relationships with all students, staff members and parents. Staff members work collaboratively and demonstrate respect for each other. Campus and staff survey data supports that Centennial is a Professional Learning Community with flourishing collaborative teams in place. Overall, staff and administrators agree that Centennial has a positive school climate based on HRS (High Reliability Schools) survey data. The school has a collaborative, safe and orderly environment. Students seem to like school as evidenced by Centennial's 97.3% attendance rate. At Centennial, partnerships with parents and the community are valued and cherished. The school has a very active PTA that supports the school, teachers, and students in many ways. In the 2018-2019 school year, the PTA paid for student field trips for all grade levels, supported many after-school programs and coordinated special events such as the Color Run, Multicultural Night and Texas Day. They provided key instructional resources for teachers to support effective teaching in every classroom. The PTA has supported teacher appreciation events to honor Centennial's teachers and thank them for their hard work. The Watch D.O.G. Program continues to grow every year where dads come and spend a day at Centennial, follow a schedule which includes meeting with student groups in every grade level. They also spend lunch, recess and specials with their own student. In 2018-2019 there were 126 Watch D.O.G. s who served at Centennial. This program has received very positive feedback from teachers, students and the dads who participated.

Perceptions Strengths

- Teachers and administrators feel that Centennial has a collaborative and safe environment.
- Centennial has a very strong and supportive PTA.
- The Watch D.O.G. program has an overall positive impact on the school environment.
- Staff feel that the campus has a collaborative environment.

Problem Statements Identifying Perceptions Needs

Problem Statement 1: Staff don't always understand the purpose behind surveys and would like to know how their input influences decision making.

Priority Problem Statements

Problem Statement 1: The percentage of students at the masters level at Centennial is above district level, but below the level of "like" campuses **Root Cause 1**: Collaborative teams will address the need to plan for instruction that includes extending student understanding with essential concepts. **Problem Statement 1 Areas**: Student Learning

Problem Statement 2: Staff have been trained on common formative assessments, but are not regularly using them to drive instruction and intervention.Root Cause 2: A format for data disaggregation and a schedule for this has not been established and provided for collaborative teams.Problem Statement 2 Areas: School Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 3: There is a need for a systematic approach for differentiation to meet the needs of the high and low learners Root Cause 3: Collaborative teams will plan for these groups and provide targeted instruction, based on formative and summative assessment data, to target these groups of learners.

Problem Statement 3 Areas: Student Learning

Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation

The following data were used to verify the comprehensive needs assessment analysis:

Improvement Planning Data

• District goals

Accountability Data

- Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR) data
- Student Progress Domain
- Accountability Distinction Designations
- Federal Report Card Data

Student Data: Assessments

- STAAR End-of-Course current and longitudinal results, including all versions
- Texas English Language Proficiency Assessment System (TELPAS) and TELPAS Alternate results
- Local benchmark or common assessments data

Student Data: Student Groups

- Male / Female performance, progress, and participation data
- Special education/non-special education population including discipline, progress and participation data
- Dyslexia Data
- Response to Intervention (RtI) student achievement data

Student Data: Behavior and Other Indicators

- Attendance data
- Mobility rate, including longitudinal data
- Student surveys and/or other feedback

Employee Data

- Professional learning communities (PLC) data
- Staff surveys and/or other feedback
- Teacher/Student Ratio
- State certified and high quality staff data
- Campus department and/or faculty meeting discussions and data

Parent/Community Data

- Parent surveys and/or other feedback
- Community surveys and/or other feedback

Support Systems and Other Data

- Processes and procedures for teaching and learning, including program implementationOther additional data

Goals

Revised/Approved: September 22, 2020

Goal 1: DIP - Plano ISD will increase student learning as evidenced by an increase in the percentage of students performing at the Meets grade level standard on STAAR/EOC Reading and STAAR Writing from 2019 to 2021.

Performance Objective 1: HB3 - The percent of Centennial 3rd grade students that score meets grade level or above on STAAR Reading will increase from 78% in 2019 to 80% by June 2021. The SPED student group performance will increase from 56% in 2019 to 59% in 2021. The Eco Dis student group performance will increase from 38% in 2019 to 43% in 2021.

HB3 Goal

Evaluation Data Sources: 2021 STAAR Assessment

Strategy 1 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 1: K-3 Centennial teachers participate in the TEA Reading Academy 60 hour course (professional development) and complete it		Formative	
successfully by the end of the school year. Teachers apply what they have learned to their reading instruction.	Nov	Feb	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Walk through data, common formative assessments, reading records, lesson plans, Reading MAP scores (specifically the foundational skills strand)			
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrators, Grade level Team leaders and Classroom Teachers	20%	50%	95%
Strategy 2 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 2: Targeted reading groups are held daily in K-5 classrooms to allow students the ability to progress from their BOY (beginning		Formative	
of year) instructional reading level. Allow for academic teachers and special education teachers to provide additional support in order to meet the needs of all students.	Nov	Feb	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: The master schedule reflects time in every grade level dedicated to small group / targeted reading instruction, reading records, MAP scores, walk throughs, lesson plans.	20%	50%	95%
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Asst. Principal, Leadership team			
Strategy 3 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 3: Use of formative and summative assessment data to drive instruction and formally discuss this data at regularly scheduled		Formative	
data meetings.	Nov	Feb	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Teachers will use results of common formative assessments, district and unit assessments to drive targeted instruction. Teachers, administrators and instructional specialists will meet regularly to discuss and analyze data at regularly scheduled data meetings.	20%	50%	80%
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Asst. Principal, Classroom Teachers			

Strategy 4 Details	For	mative Revi	iews
Strategy 4: Grade level teams will spend 3 planning periods a week (Monday, Tuesday and Wednesday) to collaboratively plan		Formative	
instruction in order to maximize their Reading Academy knowledge, unpack the TEKS and evaluate student data in order to deliver the best literacy instruction for their students.	Nov	Feb	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Reading records, Reading MAP scores, lesson plans, small group instruction (walk throughs). Administration will provide specific feedback via planning walk throughs. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Grade level teachers/teams, leadership team, principal/assistant principal	20%	50%	100%
Strategy 5 Details	For	mative Revi	iews
Strategy 5: Use of the Centennial Instructional model and collaborative team framework to plan for instruction.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Strategies for effective teaching in every classroom and collaborative team planning will	Nov	Feb	June
be aligned and consistent observed through walk throughs, data meetings, vertical teams, lesson plans, planning meetings. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Grade level teachers/teams,Principal/Assistant principal	20%	50%	100%
Strategy 6 Details	For	mative Revi	iews
Strategy 6: Students in all classrooms take ownership of their data using tools such as data trackers, data binders or data walls that build		Formative	
hope, efficacy and achievement.	Nov	Feb	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will become more aware of their own learning, areas of growth and achievement. This will result in more personalized learning for students.Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Classroom teachers, Principal/Assistant principal	20%	50%	85%
Strategy 7 Details	For	mative Revi	iews
Strategy 7: Teachers will identify foundational skill gaps and set individual student goals through the RTI process using the Edugence		Formative	
system.	Nov	Feb	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students who have foundational skill gaps will be brought up to grade level by meeting or exceeding expected growth in STAAR, MAP and/or TELPAS. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Asst. Principal, Grade level teachers	20%	50%	100%
Strategy 8 Details	For	mative Revi	iews
Strategy 8: Students who have not met expected progress or growth the previous year will be identified, targeted and monitored by all		Formative	
staff (Champion Program).	Nov	Feb	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: These students will meet or exceed growth as measured by STAAR, MAP and/or TELPAS.	2004	FOR	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Asst. Principal	20%	50%	90%
No Progress Accomplished -> Continue/Modify X Discontinue	ie		•

Goal 1: DIP - Plano ISD will increase student learning as evidenced by an increase in the percentage of students performing at the Meets grade level standard on STAAR/EOC Reading and STAAR Writing from 2019 to 2021.

Performance Objective 2: The percent of Centennial students that score Meets grade level or above on STAAR Reading 3-5 will increase from 78% in 2019 to 80% by June 2021. The African American student group performance will increase from 26% in 2019 to 30% in 2021. The Eco Dis student group performance will increase from 33% in 2019 to 38% in 2021.

Evaluation Data Sources: 2021 STAAR Assessment

Strategy 1 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 1: K-3 Centennial teachers participate in the TEA Reading Academy 60 hour course (professional development) and complete		Formative	
 it successfully by the end of the school year. Teachers apply what they have learned to their reading instruction. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Walk through data, common formative assessments, reading records, lesson plans, Reading MAP scores (specifically the foundational skills strand). Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrators, Team Leaders and Classroom Teachers 	Nov 20%	Feb	June 95%
Strategy 2 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 2: Targeted reading groups are held daily in K-5 classrooms to allow students the ability to progress from their BOY (beginning		Formative	
of year) instructional reading level. Allow for academic teachers and special education teachers to provide additional support in order to meet the needs of all students.	Nov	Feb	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: The master schedule reflects time in every grade level dedicated to small group / targeted reading instruction, reading records, MAP scores, walk throughs, lesson plans. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principal, Instructional staff	20%	50%	85%
Strategy 3 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 3: Use of formative and summative assessment data to drive instruction and formally discuss this data at regularly scheduled		Formative	
data meetings.	Nov	Feb	June
 Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Teachers will use results of common formative assessments, district and unit assessments to drive targeted instruction. Teachers, administrators and instructional specialists will meet regularly to discuss and analyze data at regularly scheduled data meetings. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Asst., Principal, Classroom teachers 	20%	50%	90%
Strategy 4 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 4: Grade level teams will spend 3 planning periods a week (Monday, Tuesday and Wednesday) to collaboratively plan		Formative	
instruction in order to maximize their Reading Academy knowledge, unpack the TEKS and evaluate student data in order to deliver the best literacy instruction for their students.	Nov	Feb	June

Strategy 5 Details	For	mative Revi	iews	
Strategy 5: Use of the Centennial Instructional model and collaborative team framework to plan for instruction.		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Strategies for effective teaching in every classroom and collaborative team planning will be aligned and consistent observed through walk throughs, data meetings, vertical teams, lesson plans, planning meetings.	Nov	Feb	June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Asst. Principal, Grade Level Teachers / Teams	20%	50%	90%	
Strategy 6 Details	For	mative Revi	iews	
Strategy 6: Students in all classrooms take ownership of their data using tools such as data trackers, data binders or data walls that build		Formative		
hope, efficacy and achievement.	Nov	Feb	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will become more aware of their own learning, areas of growth and achievement. This will result in more personalized learning for students.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Asst.Principal, Grade level teachers	20%	50%	90%	
Strategy 7 Details	For	Formative Reviews		
Strategy 7: Teachers will identify foundational skill gaps and set individual student goals through the RTI process using the Edugence		Formative		
system.	Nov	Feb	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students who have foundational skill gaps will be brought up to grade level by meeting or exceeding expected growth in STAAR, MAP and/or TELPAS.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Asst. Principal, Grade level teachers	20%	50%	90%	
Strategy 8 Details	For	mative Revi	ews	
Strategy 8: Students who have not met expected progress or growth the previous year will be identified, targeted and monitored by all		Formative	-	
staff (Champion Program).	Nov	Feb	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: These students will meet or exceed growth as measured by STAAR, MAP and/or TELPAS.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Asst. Principal	20%	50%	90%	
\sim No Progress \sim Accomplished \rightarrow Continue/Modify \times Discontinue	ıe			

Goal 1: DIP - Plano ISD will increase student learning as evidenced by an increase in the percentage of students performing at the Meets grade level standard on STAAR/EOC Reading and STAAR Writing from 2019 to 2021.

Performance Objective 3: The percent of Centennial students that score Meets grade level or above on STAAR Writing 4 will increase from 70% in 2019 to 72% by June 2021. The Eco Dis student group performance will increase from 33% in 2019 to 38% in 2021. The Hispanic student group performance will increase from 54% in 2019 to 58% in 2021.

Evaluation Data Sources: 2021 STAAR Assessment

Strategy 1 Details	For	mative Revi	ews	
Strategy 1: K-3 Centennial teachers participate in the TEA Reading Academy 60 hour course (professional development) and complete		Formative		
it successfully by the end of the school year. Teachers apply what they have learned to their reading instruction.	Nov	Feb	June	
 Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Walk through data, common formative assessments, reading records, lesson plans, Reading MAP scores (specifically the foundational skills strand) Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrators, Grade level Team leaders and Classroom Teachers 	20%	50%	90%	
Strategy 2 Details	For	mative Revi	ews	
Strategy 2: Targeted reading groups are held daily in K-5 classrooms to allow students the ability to progress from their BOY (beginning		Formative		
of year) instructional reading level. Allow for academic teachers and special education teachers to provide additional support in order to meet the needs of all students.	Nov	Feb	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: The master schedule reflects time in every grade level dedicated to small group / targeted reading instruction, reading records, MAP scores, walk throughs, lesson plans.	20%	50%	90%	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Asst. Principal, Leadership team				
Strategy 3 Details	For	mative Revi	ews	
Strategy 3: Use of formative and summative assessment data to drive instruction and formally discuss this data at regularly scheduled		Formative		
data meetings. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Teachers will use results of common formative assessments, district and unit assessments	Nov	Feb	June	
to drive targeted instruction. Teachers, administrators and instructional specialists will meet regularly to discuss and analyze data at regularly scheduled data meetings.	20%	50%	90%	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Asst. Principal, Classroom Teachers				
Strategy 4 Details	For	mative Revi	ews	
Strategy 4: Grade level teams will spend 3 planning periods a week (Monday, Tuesday and Wednesday) to collaboratively plan		Formative		
instruction in order to maximize their Reading Academy knowledge, unpack the TEKS and evaluate student data in order to deliver the best literacy instruction for their students.	Nov	Feb	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Reading records, Reading MAP scores, lesson plans, small group instruction (walk	20%	50%	95%	

Strategy 5 Details	For	mative Revi	iews	
Strategy 5: Use of the Centennial Instructional model and collaborative team framework to plan for instruction.		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Strategies for effective teaching in every classroom and collaborative team planning will be aligned and consistent observed through walk throughs, data meetings, vertical teams, lesson plans, planning meetings.	Nov	Feb	June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Grade level teachers/teams,Principal/Assistant principal	20%	50%	85%	
Strategy 6 Details	For	mative Revi	iews	
Strategy 6: Students in all classrooms take ownership of their data using tools such as data trackers, data binders or data walls that build		Formative		
hope, efficacy and achievement	Nov	Feb	June	
 Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will become more aware of their own learning, areas of growth and achievement. This will result in more personalized learning for students. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Classroom teachers, Principal/Assistant principal 	20%	50%	90%	
Strategy 7 Details	For	Formative Reviews		
Strategy 7: Teachers will identify foundational skill gaps and set individual student goals through the RTI process using the Edugence		Formative		
system.	Nov	Feb	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students who have foundational skill gaps will be brought up to grade level by meeting or exceeding expected growth in STAAR, MAP and/or TELPAS.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Asst. Principal, Grade level teachers	20%	50%	90%	
Strategy 8 Details	For	mative Revi	ews	
Strategy 8: Students who have not met expected progress or growth the previous year will be identified, targeted and monitored by all		Formative		
staff (Champion Program).	Nov	Feb	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: These students will meet or exceed growth as measured by STAAR, MAP and/or TELPAS.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Asst. Principal	20%	50%	95%	
$\textcircled{000} \text{ No Progress} \qquad \textcircled{0000} \text{ Accomplished} \qquad \text{ Continue/Modify} \qquad \text{ Discontinue}$	ue			

Goal 2: DIP - Plano ISD will increase student learning as evidenced by an increase in the percentage of students performing at the Meets grade level standard on STAAR/EOC Math from 2019 to 2021.

Performance Objective 1: HB3 - The percent of Centennial 3rd grade students that score meets grade level or above on STAAR Math will increase from 77% in 2019 to 78% by June 2021. The Eco Dis student group performance will increase from 38% in 2019 to 42% in 2021. The African American student group performance will increase from 13% in 2019 to 17% in 2021.

HB3 Goal

Evaluation Data Sources: 2021 STAAR Assessment

Strategy 1 Details	For	mative Revi	ews	
Strategy 1: Use of formative and summative assessment data to drive instruction and formally discuss this data at regularly scheduled		Formative		
data meetings.	Nov	Feb	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Teachers will use results of common formative assessments, district and unit assessments to drive targeted instruction. Teachers, administrators and instructional specialists will meet regularly to discuss and analyze data at regularly scheduled data meetings.	20%	50%	90%	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Asst. Principal, Classroom Teachers				
Strategy 2 Details	For	mative Revi	ews	
ategy 2: Grade level teams will spend 3 planning periods a week (Monday, Tuesday and Wednesday) to collaboratively plan		Formative		
instruction in order to maximize their math knowledge, unpack the TEKS and evaluate student data in order to deliver the best math instruction for their students	Nov	Feb	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Math MAP scores, lesson plans, small group instruction (walk throughs). Administration will provide specific feedback via planning walk throughs. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Grade level teachers/teams, leadership team, principal/assistant principal	20%	50%	95%	
Strategy 3 Details	For	mative Revi	ews	
Strategy 3: Use of the Centennial Instructional model and collaborative team framework to plan for instruction.		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Strategies for effective teaching in every classroom and collaborative team planning will	Nov	Feb	June	
be aligned and consistent observed through walk throughs, data meetings, vertical teams, lesson plans, planning meetings. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Grade level teachers/teams,Principal/Assistant principal	20%	50%	90%	

Strategy 4 Details	For	iews	
Strategy 4: Students in all classrooms take ownership of their data using tools such as data trackers, data binders or data walls that build hope, efficacy and achievement.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will become more aware of their own learning, areas of growth and	Nov	Feb	June
achievement. This will result in more personalized learning for students.			
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Classroom teachers, Principal/Assistant principal	20%	50%	85%
Strategy 5 Details	For	iews	
Strategy 5: Students who have not met expected progress or growth the previous year will be identified, targeted and monitored by all staff (Champion Program).		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: These students will meet or exceed growth as measured by STAAR, MAP and/or	Nov	Feb	June
TELPAS.			
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Asst. Principal	20%	50%	95%
Image: No Progress Image: Accomplished Image: Continue/Modify Image: Continue/Modify	ie		

Goal 2: DIP - Plano ISD will increase student learning as evidenced by an increase in the percentage of students performing at the Meets grade level standard on STAAR/EOC Math from 2019 to 2021.

Performance Objective 2: The percent of Centennial students that score Meets grade level or above on STAAR Math 3-5 will increase from 82% in 2019 to 83% by June 2021. The African American student group performance will increase from 16% in 2019 to 20% in 2021. The Eco Dis student group performance will increase from 52% in 2019 to 56% in 2021.

Evaluation Data Sources: 2021 STAAR Assessment

Strategy 1 Details	For	mative Revi	iews
Strategy 1: Use of formative and summative assessment data to drive instruction and formally discuss this data at regularly scheduled		Formative	
data meetings.	Nov	Feb	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Teachers will use results of common formative assessments, district and unit assessments to drive targeted instruction. Teachers, administrators and instructional specialists will meet regularly to discuss and analyze data at regularly scheduled data meetings.	20%	50%	95%
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Asst. Principal, Classroom Teachers			
Strategy 2 Details	For	mative Revi	iews
Strategy 2: Grade level teams will spend 3 planning periods a week (Monday, Tuesday and Wednesday) to collaboratively plan		Formative	
instruction in order to maximize their math knowledge, unpack the TEKS and evaluate student data in order to deliver the best math instruction for their students	Nov	Feb	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Math MAP scores, lesson plans, small group instruction (walk throughs). Administration will provide specific feedback via planning walk throughs.	20%	50%	100%
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Grade level teachers/teams, leadership team, principal/assistant principal			
Strategy 3 Details	For	mative Revi	iews
Strategy 3: Use of the Centennial Instructional model and collaborative team framework to plan for instruction.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Strategies for effective teaching in every classroom and collaborative team planning will	Nov	Feb	June
be aligned and consistent observed through walk throughs, data meetings, vertical teams, lesson plans, planning meetings. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Grade level teachers/teams,Principal/Assistant principal	20%	50%	90%
Strategy 4 Details	For	mative Revi	iews
Strategy 4: Students in all classrooms take ownership of their data using tools such as data trackers, data binders or data walls that build		Formative	
hope, efficacy and achievement.	Nov	Feb	June
 Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will become more aware of their own learning, areas of growth and achievement. This will result in more personalized learning for students. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Classroom teachers, Principal/Assistant principal 	20%	50%	95%

Strategy 5 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 5: Students who have not met expected progress or growth the previous year will be identified, targeted and monitored by all		Formative	
staff (Champion Program).	Nov	Feb	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: These students will meet or exceed growth as measured by STAAR, MAP and/or TELPAS.			
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Asst. Principal	20%	50%	95%
Image: No Progress Image: Accomplished Image: Continue/Modify Image: Continue/Modify	ie		

Goal 3: DIP - Plano ISD will increase student learning in Science as evidenced by an increase in the percentage of students performing at the Meets grade level standard on STAAR/EOC Science from 2019 to 2021.

Performance Objective 1: The percent of Centennial students that score Meets grade level or above on STAAR Science 5 will increase from 66% in 2019 to 68% by June 2021. The SPED student group performance will increase from 29% in 2019 to 31% in 2021. The Eco Dis student group performance will increase from 31% in 2019 to 35% in 2021.

Evaluation Data Sources: 2021 STAAR Assessment

Strategy 1 Details	For	mative Revi	ews	
Strategy 1: Use of formative and summative assessment data to drive instruction and formally discuss this data at regularly scheduled		Formative		
data meetings.	Nov	Feb	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Teachers will use results of common formative assessments, district and unit assessments to drive targeted instruction. Teachers, administrators and instructional specialists will meet regularly to discuss and analyze data at regularly scheduled data meetings.	20%	50%	95%	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Asst. Principal, Classroom Teachers				
Strategy 2 Details	For	mative Revi	iews	
ategy 2: Grade level teams will spend 3 planning periods a week (Monday, Tuesday and Wednesday) to collaboratively plan		Formative		
instruction in order to maximize their science knowledge, unpack the TEKS and evaluate student data in order to deliver the best science instruction for their students.	Nov	Feb	June	
 Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Science MAP scores, lesson plans, small group instruction (walk throughs). Administration will provide specific feedback via planning walk throughs. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Grade level teachers/teams, leadership team, principal/assistant principal 	20%	50%	90%	
Strategy 3 Details	For	mative Revi	ews	
Strategy 3: Use of the Centennial Instructional model and collaborative team framework to plan for instruction.		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Strategies for effective teaching in every classroom and collaborative team planning will be aligned and consistent observed through walk throughs, data meetings, vertical teams, lesson plans, planning meetings.	Nov	Feb	June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Grade level teachers/teams,Principal/Assistant principal	20%	50%	90%	

Strategy 4 Details	For	mative Rev	iews
Strategy 4: Students in all classrooms take ownership of their data using tools such as data trackers, data binders or data walls that build		Formative	
hope, efficacy and achievement.	Nov	Feb	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will become more aware of their own learning, areas of growth and achievement. This will result in more personalized learning for students.	2007	50%	OF OF
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Grade level teachers/teams,Principal/Assistant principal	20%	50%	95%
Strategy 5 Details	For	mative Revi	iews
Strategy 5: Students who have not met expected progress or growth the previous year will be identified, targeted and monitored by all staff (Champion Program).		Formative	1
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: These students will meet or exceed growth as measured by STAAR, MAP and/or	Nov	Feb	June
TELPAS.			
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Asst. Principal	20%	50%	90%
No Progress ON Accomplished -> Continue/Modify X Discontinu	ie		

20-21 SBIC Committee

Committee Role	Name	Position
Parent	Abeezar Shipchandler	Parent
District-level Professional	Ginger Teaff	District Representative
Administrator	Sara Stewart	School Principal
Classroom Teacher	Ashley Immel	Classroom Teacher
Parent	Anya Reister	Parent
Parent	Hiba Tausiq	Parent
Parent	Afshin Ahangar	Parent
Administrator	Molly Pond	Assistant Principal
Non-classroom Professional	Jenn Kay	PE Teacher
Classroom Teacher	Cody McGregor	3rd Grade Teacher
Classroom Teacher	Matt Miller	4th Grade Teacher
Non-classroom Professional	Ruchi Bhatia	Special Education Teacher
Classroom Teacher	Brittany Jenkins	2nd Grade Teacher
Non-classroom Professional	Alison Leven	Counselor
Classroom Teacher	Julie Lopez	5th Grade Teacher
Parent	Antonio Glenn	Parent
Parent	Samantha Reigada	Parent
Parent	Shiva Cheedella	Parent
Business Representative	Carlos Caamal	Business Representative
Business Representative	Carol Davis	Business Representative
Community Representative	Allison McCann	School Resource Officer
Non-classroom Professional	Sridevi Murugesan	ESL Specialist
Classroom Teacher	Jennifer Collins	1st Grade Teacher
Community Representative	Mike Fuller	Community Representative

Addendums

HB3 Campus Goals - All Grades STAAR at Meets Standard

2019 Rates: Based on percent of students at STAAR All Grades Meets Grade Level standard on Accountability Subset based on Domain 1 Rules.

2019 Number Tested: If students at Meets standard is less than 5, the number of students tested is not displayed for masking rules.

Campus 2024 Goal for each student group based on the increase for each group required at the district level.

Target for interim years based achieving increases of 12% in 2020, 15% in 2021, 18% in 2022, 25% in 2023, and 30% in 2024 of the required overall increase from 2019 to 2024.

Year	African American	Hispanic	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	Two or More	Special Ed.	Eco Disadv.	Former Spec. Ed.	EL Current	Cont. Enrolled	Non- Cont.	All
2019	26	67	86		86		56	57	33	100	76	83	70	78
2020	28	69	86		86		57	58	35	100	78	83	71	79
2021	30	72	87		87		59	60	38	100	80	84	73	80
2022	33	75	88		88		60	62	42	100	82	85	75	82
2023	37	80	89		89		63	64	47	100	86	86	78	84
2024	42	85	90		90		66	67	53	100	90	87	82	86

Reading

Mathematics

Year	African American	Hispanic	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	Two or More	Special Ed.	Eco Disadv.	Former Spec. Ed.	EL Current	Cont. Enrolled	Non- Cont.	All
2019	16	67	88		93		89	63	52	60	85	86	75	82
2020	18	69	88		93		90	64	54	61	86	86	76	83
2021	20	71	89		94		91	66	56	62	87	87	77	83
2022	23	74	89		94		92	68	59	64	89	87	79	84
2023	27	78	90		95		93	70	63	66	91	88	81	86
2024	32	83	91		96		95	73	68	69	94	89	84	87

Plano ISD - ARPE 7/31/2020 8:24:17 AM

HB3 Early Childhood Campus Goals - Grade 3 STAAR at Meets Standard

2019 Rates: Based on percent of students at STAAR Grade 3 Meets Grade Level standard on Accountability Subset based on Domain 1 Rules.

2019 Number Tested: If students at Meets standard is less than 5, the number of students tested is not displayed for masking rules.

Campus 2024 Goal for each student group based on the increase for each group required at the district level.

Target for interim years based achieving increases of 12% in 2020, 15% in 2021, 18% in 2022, 25% in 2023, and 30% in 2024 of the required overall increase from 2019 to 2024.

Year	African American	Hispanic	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	Two or More	Special Ed.	Eco Disadv.	Former Spec. Ed.	EL Current	Cont. Enrolled	Non- Cont.	All
2019 # of Students		10	37		42			16	8		23	69	30	99
2019	25	60	86		86			56	38		70	81	70	78
2020	27	62	86		86			57	40		72	81	71	79
2021	29	65	87		87			59	43		74	82	73	80
2022	32	68	88		88			61	47		76	83	75	82
2023	36	73	89		89			63	52		80	84	78	84
2024	41	78	90		90			66	58		84	85	82	86

Reading

District Goals for Grade 3 STAAR

District 2019 Baseline	44	40	70	43	77	-	57	37	37	53	48	63	53	60
District 2024 Goal	60	58	74	60	81	68	67	47	57	65	62	67	65	68
District Increase 2019 to 2021	4	4	1	4	1	2	3	3	5	3	4	1	3	2
District Increase 2019 to 2024	16	18	4	17	4	8	10	10	20	12	14	4	12	8

HB3 Early Childhood Campus Goals - Grade 3 STAAR at Meets Standard

2019 Rates: Based on percent of students at STAAR Grade 3 Meets Grade Level standard on Accountability Subset based on Domain 1 Rules.

2019 Number Tested: If students at Meets standard is less than 5, the number of students tested is not displayed for masking rules.

Campus 2024 Goal for each student group based on the increase for each group required at the district level.

Target for interim years based achieving increases of 12% in 2020, 15% in 2021, 18% in 2022, 25% in 2023, and 30% in 2024 of the required overall increase from 2019 to 2024.

Year	African American	Hispanic	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	Two or More	Special Ed.	Eco Disadv.	Former Spec. Ed.	EL Current	Cont. Enrolled	Non- Cont.	All
2019 # of Students		10	37		42			16	8		23	69	30	99
2019	13	50	84		90			63	38		74	81	67	77
2020	15	52	84		90			64	40		75	81	68	78
2021	17	54	85		91			66	42		76	82	69	78
2022	20	57	85		91			68	45		78	82	71	79
2023	24	61	86		92			70	49		80	83	73	81
2024	29	66	87		93			73	54		83	84	76	82

Mathematics

District Goals for Grade 3 STAAR

District 2019 Baseline	43	44	72	71	85	-	63	40	43	57	58	67	57	65
District 2024 Goal	59	60	75	74	88	70	69	50	59	66	67	70	66	70
District Increase 2019 to 2021	4	4	1	1	1	2	2	3	4	2	2	1	2	2
District Increase 2019 to 2024	16	16	3	3	3	5	6	10	16	9	9	3	9	5

Centennial - STAAR Grade 4 Writing														
The percer	nt of 4th grad	le students t	hat score N	leets grade	level or abo	ve on STAA	R Writing Gr	ade 4 will ir	ncrease from	n 70% in 201	9 to 72% by	June 2021.		
					Yearly	/ Target	Goals							
2020			2021			2022			2023			2024		
71% 2019 Baseline:	70%		72%			74%			76%			78%		
			Closir	ng the G	Saps Stu	udent G	roups Y	early Ta	argets					
	African American	Hispanic	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	Special Ed	Eco. Disadv.	Special Ed (Former)	EL	Cont. Enrolled	Non-Cont. Enrolled	All
2019 Baseline	67	54	71	*	74	*	*	57	33	*	60	72	67	70
2020	68	56	71	*	74	*	*	58	35	*	61	72	68	71
2021	71	58	72	*	75	*	*	59	38	*	63	73	70	72
2022	74	62	72	*	75	*	*	61	42	*	66	73	72	74
2023	78	66	73	*	76	*	*	64	47	*	69	74	75	76
2024	83	72	75	*	78	*	*	67	53	*	74	76	78	78
2019-2021	4	4	1	*	1	*	*	2	5	*	3	1	3	2
2019 Baseline	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
2020	1	2	0	1	0	1	1	1	2	1	1	0	1	1
2021	4	4	1	4	1	2	2	2	5	3	3	1	3	2
2022	7	8	1	7	1	4	4	4	9	5	6	1	5	4
2023	11	12	2	11	2	6	6	7	14	8	9	2	8	6
2024	16	18	4	16	4	8	9	10	20	11	14	4	11	8

Centennial - STAAR Grade 5 Science The percent of 5th grade students that score Meets grade level or above on STAAR Science Grade 5 will increase from 66% in 2019 to 68% by June 2021.														
The percer	nt of 5th grad	e students t	hat score N	leets grade l	evel or abo	ve on STAAI	R Science Gr	ade 5 will ir	crease from	66% in 201	9 to 68% by	June 2021.		
					Yearly	[,] Target	Goals							
2020			2021			2022			2023			2024		
67% 2019 Baseline:	66%		68%			69%			70%			71%		
			Closir	ng the G	iaps Stu	ident G	roups Y	early Ta	argets					
	African American	Hispanic	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	Special Ed	Eco. Disadv.	Special Ed (Former)	EL	Cont. Enrolled	Non-Cont. Enrolled	All
2019 Baseline	0	56	78	*	70	*	67	29	31	*	56	72	57	66
2020	1	57	78	*	70	*	67	30	32	*	57	72	58	67
2021	4	60	78	*	70	*	68	31	35	*	58	72	59	68
2022	7	62	79	*	71	*	69	33	38	*	59	73	61	69
2023	11	66	79	*	71	*	71	36	42	*	61	73	63	70
2024	16	71	80	*	72	*	73	39	47	*	64	74	66	71
2019-2021	4	4	0	*	0	*	1	2	4	*	2	0	2	2
2010														
2019 Baseline	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
2020	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	0	1	1
2021	4	4	0	0	0	2	1	2	4	2	2	0	2	2
2022	7	6	1	1	1	3	2	4	7	4	3	1	4	3
2023	11	10	1	1	1	4	4	7	11	6	5	1	6	4
2024	16	15	2	2	2	5	6	10	16	9	8	2	9	5

CAMPUS APPENDIX

STATE MANDATES IMPLEMENTATION REFERENCE

Texas law and Board Policies mandate the following be addressed with strategies for improving student performance. To increase the LEA's ability to focus on a limited number of targeted initiatives in this improvement plan, the LEA will plan, implement, monitor and evaluate the following mandates through other procedures and practices. When requested, the LEA Person Responsible will report progress to the site-based committee.

LEA Person Responsible for update	Mandate	Reference	Location of Documentation
Executive Director for Student & Family Services	Bullying Staff Prevention Identify high risk areas Monitor high risk areas Follow campus rules/expectations Staff Education Participate in annual staff training on bullying/sexual harassment/suicide prevention/trauma informed practices/human trafficking Review referral process Staff Intervention Establish recommended intervention strategies for classroom/campus Implement campus referral plan Utilize Discipline Management strategies Student Prevention Clearly state student expectations/campus rules/citizenship Monitor high risk areas Student Education Explain referral process/contacts Anonymous Tip Line Student Intervention Apply classroom interventions	TEC 11.252(a)(3)(E)	The school will follow the Student Handbook and Board Policies: FFI, FDB, FFF, FFH, FO, CQA, and FFB.

	 Employ discipline interventions Use other intervention strategies as necessary/appropriate Conference with parents/students 		
Principal	 Coordinated Health Program Coordinated School Health K-8 Designate a Campus Wellness Captain and establish a Campus Wellness Team; set meetings, establish measurable goals and document progress toward goal completion. K-8 Include at least one Parent on Campus Wellness Team. K-8 Ensure that all components of the Coordinated School Health curriculum are delivered in an appropriate setting, i.e. classroom component requires use of a classroom. K-8 Create a Coordinated School Health bulletin board inside the school building for students, staff and parents to view. K-8 Notify parents/community members of Family Wellness Nights/Health Fairs through use of marquee, newsletter, web page, and/or myPISD. Fitness 3-8 Pre and Post Assess all eligible students using fitness test components. 4th and 7th Ensure all data for 3rd - 8th grade students is entered on timely basis, fitness report cards are printed (4th grade and 7th grade) and sent to parents or linked through myPISD. Include at least one Parent on Campus Wellness Team. Physical Activity Requirements K-8 Campuses Only: Ensure students are receiving required physical education classes/minutes for each school year and achieving moderate to vigorous physical activity (MVPA) 50% of the physical education class period. K-8 Measure MVPA and physical activity time 	TEC 11.253(d) Board Policy FFA(Local)	The school will follow Board Policies: FFA and EHAA.

	 using pedometers and heart rate monitors. K-8 Ensure physical education staff is using a sequential and developmentally appropriate curriculum which has students active at least 70%-90% of class time. K-5 Ensure students are receiving daily unstructured play during recess. K-5 Encourage opportunities for brain breaks and short activity breaks throughout the day. Attendance K-8 Monitor attendance of students and follow up on prominent and chronic absences. 		
Principal	 Recruiting Certified Teachers and Highly-Qualified Paraprofessionals Local on-going high quality professional development based on campus needs or district identified needs is provided to all teachers in all core subject areas. Funding source: State and Local 	ESSA	
Principal	 Parent Involvement Require all parents to register students via Parent Portal in order to have access to eNews, grades, attendance, and other electronic information. Funding Sources: SCE, Title I and Local Identify parents without computer/Internet access and offer assistance through the District Mobile Technology Lab for parent education and access. Funding Sources: SCE, Title I and Local Upgrade and maintain the campus website for easy access and increased communication with the community. Funding source: State and Local Communicate information through eNews and through hard copies when Internet access is not available. Funding source: State and Local Utilize social media to keep parents and 		

 community informed. Funding source: State and Local PTA representative meets with the principal on a monthly basis to gain insight to student/parent needs. Funding source: State and Local Partner with PTA to offer parental programs on a variety of topics (academic, social, etc). Fundir source: State and Local Parent Education programs focused on relevant topics of interest will be available upon request any campus or PTA 	
---	--