Plano Independent School District

Hunt Elementary

2020-2021

Accountability Rating: Not Rated: Declared State of Disaster



Board Approval Date: October 20, 2020

Mission Statement

Martha Hunt Elementary is dedicated to academic excellence in a child focused environment where all children can succeed.

Table of Contents

Comprehensive Needs Assessment	4
Demographics	4
Student Learning	4
School Processes & Programs	5
Perceptions	6
Priority Problem Statements	7
Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation	8
Goals	10
Goal 1: DIP - Plano ISD will increase student learning as evidenced by an increase in the percentage of students performing at the Meets grade level standard on	
STAAR/EOC Reading and STAAR Writing from 2019 to 2021.	11
Goal 2: DIP - Plano ISD will increase student learning as evidenced by an increase in the percentage of students performing at the Meets grade level standard on	
STAAR/EOC Math from 2019 to 2021.	17
Goal 3: DIP - Plano ISD will increase student learning in Science as evidenced by an increase in the percentage of students performing at the Meets grade level standard	
on STAAR/EOC Science from 2019 to 2021.	21
Campus Level Improvement Committee	23
Addendums	24

Comprehensive Needs Assessment

Demographics

Demographics Summary

- Diverse student body
 - White 48%
 - Asian 32%
 - African American 8%
 - Hispanic/Latino 7%
 - Two or More Races 4%
- Low mobility 4.8%
- High attendance 97%
- 8% Low Socio-Economic Status
- 9% Limited English Proficient
- Highly involved PTA and supportive families

Demographics Strengths

- Diverse student body
- Low mobility
- High attendance
- Highly involved PTA and supportive families

Problem Statements Identifying Demographics Needs

Problem Statement 1: We have not surveyed within the last two academic years to determine if our current methods for communication between school and home are meeting the needs of all stakeholders. **Root Cause:** As a campus, we have not prioritized the need to collect recent information.

Student Learning

Student Learning Summary

Hunt Elementary Goals Including HB3 Goals: (see tables in addendum): Individual goals are set for each student group with the expectation of high standards as well as to narrow the gap between each group and the All Students group. If the student group is below the All Students group, that student group's goal for 2024 is to narrow the performance gap by half as well as meet the overall percentage increase in the STAAR Meets Grade Level performance required for the All Students group.

Problem Statements Identifying Student Learning Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): We wish to continue to grow our percentage of students of children who demonstrate a strong understanding of reading student learning objectives and are ready for the next grade as is demonstrated by a score of Meets and/or Masters on the STAAR test. **Root Cause:** Inconsistent implementation of scientifically based literacy practices and approaches.

School Processes & Programs

School Processes & Programs Summary

- Experienced teaching and administrative staff
- Low teacher turnover
- District curriculum aligned to state standards
- Uniform district model of instruction
- Utilization of a PLC process by highly collaborative teams
- 1 to Web computer access for each student and staff member
- Well developed system for teacher evaluation and professional growth, T-TESS

School Processes & Programs Strengths

- Experienced teaching and administrative staff
- Low teacher turnover
- Utilization of a PLC process by highly collaborative teams
- 1 to Web computer access for each student and staff member

Problem Statements Identifying School Processes & Programs Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): The High Reliability Schools Model: Survey of staff Level II, Effective Teaching in Every Classroom demonstrated a need to grow teachers' understanding of an instructional model, including understanding of included instructional actions and development of a common language. **Root Cause:** Teachers do not have a common understanding of the meaning and use of each instructional action within the Plano ISD instructional model.

Perceptions

Perceptions Summary

- Strong Student Positive Behavior Support System evaluated through student surveys
- Highly collaborative staff evaluated through control staff survey and collaborative team rating scales
- Strong feelings of security among students and staff evaluated through student and staff safety surveys
- A structured plan for providing students with a strong foundation in social and emotional learning
- Differentiated learning opportunities within an aligned district curriculum written by classroom teachers and specialists
- High expectations and success in academic growth and achievement for all Texas Accountability System
- Desire to continually grow and improve

Perceptions Strengths

Strong Student Positive Behavior Support System - evaluated through student surveys
Highly collaborative staff - evaluated through control staff survey and collaborative team rating scales
Strong feelings of security among students and staff - evaluated through student and staff safety surveys
A structured plan for providing students with a strong foundation in social and emotional learning
Differentiated learning opportunities within an aligned district curriculum written by classroom teachers
and specialists

High expectations and success in academic growth and achievement for all - Texas Accountability System

Desire to continually grow and improve

Problem Statements Identifying Perceptions Needs

Problem Statement 1: All teachers need a deep understanding of antecedent, behavior, and consequence theory as well as best practices in implementation of the campus positive behavior support system and creation of individualized behavior plans. **Root Cause:** Not all teachers are well prepared to provide intervention when students are exhibiting significant behavioral challenges.

Priority Problem Statements

Problem Statement 1: We wish to continue to grow our percentage of students of children who demonstrate a strong understanding of reading student learning objectives and are ready for the next grade as is demonstrated by a score of Meets and/or Masters on the STAAR test.

Root Cause 1: Inconsistent implementation of scientifically based literacy practices and approaches.

Problem Statement 1 Areas: Student Learning

Problem Statement 2: The High Reliability Schools Model: Survey of staff Level II, Effective Teaching in Every Classroom demonstrated a need to grow teachers' understanding of an instructional model, including understanding of included instructional actions and development of a common language.

Root Cause 2: Teachers do not have a common understanding of the meaning and use of each instructional action within the Plano ISD instructional model.

Problem Statement 2 Areas: School Processes & Programs

Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation

The following data were used to verify the comprehensive needs assessment analysis:

Improvement Planning Data

· District goals

Accountability Data

- Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR) data
- Student Achievement Domain
- Student Progress Domain
- Closing the Gaps Domain
- Accountability Distinction Designations

Student Data: Assessments

- Texas English Language Proficiency Assessment System (TELPAS) and TELPAS Alternate results
- Texas Primary Reading Inventory (TPRI), Tejas LEE, or other alternate early reading assessment results
- Student Success Initiative (SSI) data for Grades 5 and 8
- Running Records results

Student Data: Student Groups

- Special education/non-special education population including discipline, progress and participation data
- Response to Intervention (RtI) student achievement data

Student Data: Behavior and Other Indicators

- Attendance data
- Discipline records
- · School safety data

Employee Data

- Professional learning communities (PLC) data
- Staff surveys and/or other feedback
- Campus department and/or faculty meeting discussions and data
- T-PESS data

Parent/Community Data

• Parent surveys and/or other feedback

Support Systems and Other Data

- Processes and procedures for teaching and learning, including program implementation
- Capacity and resources data

- Budgets/entitlements and expenditures dataStudy of best practices

Goals

Revised/Approved: September 30, 2020

Goal 1: DIP - Plano ISD will increase student learning as evidenced by an increase in the percentage of students performing at the Meets grade level standard on STAAR/EOC Reading and STAAR Writing from 2019 to 2021.

Performance Objective 1: HB3 - The percent of Hunt 3rd grade students that score meets grade level or above on STAAR Reading will increase from 68% in 2019 to 70% by June 2021. The African American student group performance will increase from 47% in 2019 to 51% in 2021. The Special Education student group performance will increase from 56% in 2019 to 59% in 2021.

HB3 Goal

Evaluation Data Sources: 2020-21 Reading STAAR

Summative Evaluation: Significant progress made toward meeting Objective

Strategy 1 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 1: General Education teachers in grades K-3, special education teachers, instructional specialists, and administrators will		Formative	
participate in the Texas State Reading Academy to deepen their knowledge and understanding of scientifically based literacy practices and approaches.	Nov	Feb	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Enhance teaching, learning, and student literacy success Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Reading Cohort Facilitators Campus Administrators	50%	80%	95%
TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math			
Problem Statements: Student Learning 1			
Strategy 2 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 2: Continue to refine effectiveness in use of PISD Collaborative Team Framework, including induction of new team members or	Formative Revie		
individuals new to PISD, focusing upon "unpacking" student learning objectives. A minimum of one member from each collaborative team will attend training, "Diving Deeper into Essential Standards ."	Nov	Feb	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: -Building team and individual efficacy in the planning and delivery of instruction to grow student achievement -Teachers learn how to identify essential standards and utilize these standards to focus instructional practices for student achievement.	70%	75%	75%
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators			
TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math			
Problem Statements: Student Learning 1			

Strategy 3 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 3: Teams will continue to improve in effective design of common formative assessments and the frequency with which		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved frequency and use of formative data to evaluate learning and plan or adapt instruction Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators Hunt Leadership Team TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math	Nov 40%	Feb	June 85%
Problem Statements: Student Learning 1 - School Processes & Programs 1			
Strategy 4 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 4: As a collaborative team, grade levels will analyze results of common formative and summative assessments, identifying the		Formative	
most effective instructional practices and curricular resources to promote student learning.	Nov	Feb	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved instructional effectiveness and student learning Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators Hunt Leadership Team TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Build a foundation of reading and math Problem Statements: Student Learning 1	40%	55%	75%
Strategy 5 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 5: Provide Touchphonics, a researched-based, systematic, multisensory reading intervention to identified students in grades K-3		Formative	
Face to Face learning. For those in School@Home access will be provided to Lexia Core5, an adaptive web-based program of intensive intervention, for identified students having a similarly identified need for systematic phonics intervention.	Nov	Feb	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Assist identified students in need of intervention to grow in their understanding of how letters are linked to sounds (phonemes) to form letter-sound correspondences and spelling patterns and to help them learn how to apply this knowledge in their reading	20%	70%	70%
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Hunt Leadership Team			
TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math			
Problem Statements: Student Learning 1	1		

Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:

Student Learning

Problem Statement 1: We wish to continue to grow our percentage of students of children who demonstrate a strong understanding of reading student learning objectives and are ready for the next grade as is demonstrated by a score of Meets and/or Masters on the STAAR test. **Root Cause**: Inconsistent implementation of scientifically based literacy practices and approaches.

School Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 1: The High Reliability Schools Model: Survey of staff Level II, Effective Teaching in Every Classroom demonstrated a need to grow teachers' understanding of an instructional model, including understanding of included instructional actions and development of a common language. **Root Cause**: Teachers do not have a common understanding of the meaning and use of each instructional action within the Plano ISD instructional model.

Goal 1: DIP - Plano ISD will increase student learning as evidenced by an increase in the percentage of students performing at the Meets grade level standard on STAAR/EOC Reading and STAAR Writing from 2019 to 2021.

Performance Objective 2: The percent of Hunt students that score Meets grade level or above on STAAR Reading 3-5 will increase from 77% in 2019 to 79% by June 2021. The African American student group performance will increase from 56% in 2019 to 60% in 2021. The Special Education student group performance will increase from 50% in 2019 to 53% in 2021.

Evaluation Data Sources: 2020-21 Reading STAAR

Summative Evaluation: No progress made toward meeting Objective

Strategy 1 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 1: General Education teachers in grades K-3, special education teachers, instructional specialists, and administrators will		Formative	
participate in the Texas State Reading Academy to deepen their knowledge and understanding of scientifically based literacy practices and approaches.	Nov	Feb	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Enhance teaching, learning, and student literacy success Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Reading Cohort Facilitators Campus Administrators TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math Problem Statements: Student Learning 1	50%	80%	95%
Strategy 2 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 2: Continue to refine effectiveness in use of PISD Collaborative Team Framework, including induction of new team members or		Formative	
individuals new to PISD, focusing upon "unpacking" student learning objectives. A minimum of one member from each collaborative team will attend training, "Diving Deeper into Essential Standards ."	Nov	Feb	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: -Building team and individual efficacy in the planning and delivery of instruction to grow student achievement -Teachers learn how to identify essential standards and utilize these standards to focus instructional practices for student achievement.	70%	70%	70%
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators			
TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math			
Problem Statements: Student Learning 1			

Strategy 3 Details	For	mative Revi	iews
Strategy 3: Teams will continue to improve in effective design of common formative assessments and the frequency with which		Formative	
assessments are performed. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved frequency and use of formative data to evaluate learning and plan or adapt instruction Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators Campus Leadership Team TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math Problem Statements: Student Learning 1	Nov 40%	Feb 55%	June
Strategy 4 Details	For	mative Revi	iews
Strategy 4: As a collaborative team, grade levels will analyze results of common formative and summative assessments, identifying the most effective instructional practices and curricular resources to promote student learning. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved instructional effectiveness and student learning Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators Campus Leadership Team TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math Problem Statements: Student Learning 1	Nov 40%	Feb 55%	June 70%
Strategy 5 Details	For	mative Revi	iews
Strategy 5: Provide Touchphonics, a researched-based, systematic, multisensory reading intervention to identified students in grades K-3 Face to Face learning. For those in School@Home access will be provided to Lexia Core5, an adaptive web-based program of intensive	Nov	Formative Feb	June
intervention, for identified students having a similarly identified need for systematic phonics intervention. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Assist identified students in need of intervention to grow in their understanding of how letters are linked to sounds (phonemes) to form letter-sound correspondences and spelling patterns and to help them learn how to apply this knowledge in their reading Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Hunt Leadership Team TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math Problem Statements: Student Learning 1	20%	70%	70%

Performance Objective 2 Problem Statements:

Student Learning

Problem Statement 1: We wish to continue to grow our percentage of students of children who demonstrate a strong understanding of reading student learning objectives and are ready for the next grade as is demonstrated by a score of Meets and/or Masters on the STAAR test. **Root Cause**: Inconsistent implementation of scientifically based literacy practices and approaches.

Goal 1: DIP - Plano ISD will increase student learning as evidenced by an increase in the percentage of students performing at the Meets grade level standard on STAAR/EOC Reading and STAAR Writing from 2019 to 2021.

Performance Objective 3: The percent of Hunt students that score Meets grade level or above on STAAR Writing 4 will increase from 71% in 2019 to 73% by June 2021. The African American student group performance will increase from 45% in 2019 to 49% in 2021. The Economically Disadvantaged student group performance will increase from 36% in 2019 to 41% in 2021.

Evaluation Data Sources: 2020-21 Writing STAAR

Summative Evaluation: Some progress made toward meeting Objective

Strategy 1 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 1: Continue to refine effectiveness in use of PISD Collaborative Team Framework, including induction of new team members or		Formative	
individuals new to PISD, focusing upon "unpacking" student learning objectives. A minimum of one member from each collaborative team will attend training, "Diving Deeper into Essential Standards ."	Nov	Feb	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Enhance teaching, learning, and student literacy success	700/	7504	7504
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators Campus Leadership Team	70%	75%	75%
TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Build a foundation of reading and math			
Problem Statements: Student Learning 1			
Strategy 2 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 2: Teams will continue to improve in effective design of common formative assessments and the frequency with which		Formative	
assessments are performed.	Nov	Feb	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved frequency and use of formative data to evaluate learning and plan or adapt instruction			
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators	20%	50%	50%
Campus Leadership Team			
TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Build a foundation of reading and math			
Problem Statements: Student Learning 1			
Strategy 3 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 3: As a collaborative team, grade levels will analyze results of common formative and summative assessments, identifying the		Formative	
most effective instructional practices and curricular resources to promote student learning.	Nov	Feb	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved instructional effectiveness and student learning			
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators Campus Leadership TEam	20%	50%	50%
TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Build a foundation of reading and math			
Problem Statements: Student Learning 1			
No Progress Continue/Modify Discontinue Accomplished	ie		

Performance Objective 3 Problem Statements:

Student Learning

Problem Statement 1: We wish to continue to grow our percentage of students of children who demonstrate a strong understanding of reading student learning objectives and are ready for the next grade as is demonstrated by a score of Meets and/or Masters on the STAAR test. **Root Cause**: Inconsistent implementation of scientifically based literacy practices and approaches.

Goal 2: DIP - Plano ISD will increase student learning as evidenced by an increase in the percentage of students performing at the Meets grade level standard on STAAR/EOC Math from 2019 to 2021.

Performance Objective 1: HB3 - The percent of Hunt 3rd grade students that score meets grade level or above on STAAR Math will increase from 78% in 2019 to 79% by June 2021. The Economically Disadvantaged student group performance will increase from 42% in 2019 to 46% in 2021. The African American student group performance will increase from 47% in 2019 to 51% in 2021.

HB3 Goal

Evaluation Data Sources: 2020-21 Math STAAR

Summative Evaluation: Some progress made toward meeting Objective

Strategy 1 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 1: Continue to refine effectiveness in use of PISD Collaborative Team Framework, including induction of new team members or		Formative	
individuals new to PISD, focusing upon "unpacking" student learning objectives. A minimum of one member from each collaborative team will attend training, "Diving Deeper into Essential Standards ."	Nov	Feb	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: -Building team and individual efficacy in the planning and delivery of instruction to grow student achievement -Teachers learn how to identify essential standards and utilize these standards to focus instructional practices for student achievement.	70%	75%	75%
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators			
TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math			
Problem Statements: Student Learning 1			
Strategy 2 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 2: Teams will continue to improve in effective design of common formative assessments and the frequency with which		Formative	
assessments are performed.	Nov	Feb	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved frequency and use of formative data to evaluate learning and plan or adapt instruction	100		OFW.
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators Campus Leadership Team	40%	55%	85%
TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Build a foundation of reading and math			
Problem Statements: Student Learning 1			

Strategy 3 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 3: As a collaborative team, grade levels will analyze results of common formative and summative assessments, identifying the		Formative	
most effective instructional practices and curricular resources to promote student learning.	Nov	Feb	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved instructional effectiveness and student learning			0.000
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators	4004	FFOX	700/
Campus Leadership Team	40%	55%	70%
TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Build a foundation of reading and math			
Problem Statements: Student Learning 1			
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify X Discontinue/	ue	•	•

Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:

Student Learning

Problem Statement 1: We wish to continue to grow our percentage of students of children who demonstrate a strong understanding of reading student learning objectives and are ready for the next grade as is demonstrated by a score of Meets and/or Masters on the STAAR test. **Root Cause**: Inconsistent implementation of scientifically based literacy practices and approaches.

Goal 2: DIP - Plano ISD will increase student learning as evidenced by an increase in the percentage of students performing at the Meets grade level standard on STAAR/EOC Math from 2019 to 2021.

Performance Objective 2: The percent of Hunt students that score Meets grade level or above on STAAR Math 3-5 will increase from 81% in 2019 to 82% by June 2021. The Special Education student group performance will increase from 55% in 2019 to 58% in 2021. The Economically Disadvantaged student group performance will increase from 47% in 2019 to 51% in 2021.

Evaluation Data Sources: 2020-21 Math STAAR

Summative Evaluation: Some progress made toward meeting Objective

Strategy 1 Details	For	mative Revi	iews
Strategy 1: Continue to refine effectiveness in use of PISD Collaborative Team Framework, including induction of new team members or		Formative	
individuals new to PISD, focusing upon "unpacking" student learning objectives. A minimum of one member from each collaborative team will attend training, "Diving Deeper into Essential Standards ."	Nov	Feb	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: -Building team and individual efficacy in the planning and delivery of instruction to grow student achievement -Teachers learn how to identify essential standards and utilize these standards to focus instructional practices for student achievement.	70%	75%	75%
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators			
TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Build a foundation of reading and math Problem Statements: Student Learning 1			
Strategy 2 Details	For	mative Revi	iews
Strategy 2: Teams will continue to improve in effective design of common formative assessments and the frequency with which assessments are performed.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved frequency and use of formative data to evaluate learning and plan or adapt instruction	Nov	Feb	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators Campus Leadership Team	40%	55%	85%
TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Build a foundation of reading and math			
Problem Statements: Student Learning 1			
Strategy 3 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 3: As a collaborative team, grade levels will analyze results of common formative and summative assessments, identifying the		Formative	
most effective instructional practices and curricular resources to promote student learning. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved instructional effectiveness and student learning	Nov	Feb	June

Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Leadership Team
TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Build a foundation of reading and math
Problem Statements: Student Learning 1

No Progress

ON No Progress

ON Accomplished

Continue/Modify

Discontinue

Performance Objective 2 Problem Statements:

Student Learning

Problem Statement 1: We wish to continue to grow our percentage of students of children who demonstrate a strong understanding of reading student learning objectives and are ready for the next grade as is demonstrated by a score of Meets and/or Masters on the STAAR test. **Root Cause**: Inconsistent implementation of scientifically based literacy practices and approaches.

Goal 3: DIP - Plano ISD will increase student learning in Science as evidenced by an increase in the percentage of students performing at the Meets grade level standard on STAAR/EOC Science from 2019 to 2021.

Performance Objective 1: The percent of Hunt students that score Meets grade level or above on STAAR Science 5 will increase from 72% in 2019 to 74% by June 2021. The Special Education student group performance will increase from 50% in 2019 to 52% in 2021. The Economically Disadvantaged student group performance will increase from 44% in 2019 to 48% in 2021.

Evaluation Data Sources: 2020-21 Science STAAR

Summative Evaluation: No progress made toward meeting Objective

Strategy 1 Details	For	mative Rev	iews
Strategy 1: Continue to refine effectiveness in use of PISD Collaborative Team Framework, including induction of new team members or		Formative	
individuals new to PISD, focusing upon "unpacking" student learning objectives. A minimum of one member from each collaborative team will attend training, "Diving Deeper into Essential Standards ."	Nov	Feb	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: -Building team and individual efficacy in the planning and delivery of instruction to grow student achievement -Teachers learn how to identify essential standards and utilize these standards to focus instructional practices for student achievement.	70%	70%	70%
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators Campus Leadership Team			
TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals			
Problem Statements: School Processes & Programs 1			
Strategy 2 Details	For	mative Rev	iews
Strategy 2: Teams will continue to improve in effective design of common formative assessments and the frequency with which		Formative	
assessments are performed. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved frequency and use of formative data to evaluate learning and plan or adapt instruction Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Leadership Team TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals	Nov 40%	Feb	June 55%
Problem Statements: Student Learning 1			
Strategy 3 Details	For	mative Rev	iews
Strategy 3: As a collaborative team, grade levels will analyze results of common formative and summative assessments, identifying the		Formative	
most effective instructional practices and curricular resources to promote student learning.	Nov	Feb	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved instructional effectiveness and student learning Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Leadership Team TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals	20%	40%	40%
Problem Statements: Student Learning 1 - School Processes & Programs 1			

Strategy 4 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 4: To continue growing in our ability to implement PISD's Instructional Model with fidelity, staff members will continue to		Formative	
engage in a study of The New Art and Science of Teaching to establish a common understanding of included research-based instructional practices and to develop a consistent language to be used in collaborative discussions and planning.	Nov	Feb	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved instructional effectiveness and student learning Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators Campus Leadership Team TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals Problem Statements: Student Learning 1 - School Processes & Programs 1	20%	30%	30%
Strategy 5 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 5: Teachers will be provided with classroom walkthrough feedback directed to PISD's Instructional Model to reinforce and		Formative	
refine inclusion of research-based, best practices.	Nov	Feb	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved instructional effectiveness and student learning Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals Problem Statements: Student Learning 1 - School Processes & Programs 1	20%	30%	30%
Problem Statements: Student Learning 1 - School Processes & Programs 1			

Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:

Student Learning

Problem Statement 1: We wish to continue to grow our percentage of students of children who demonstrate a strong understanding of reading student learning objectives and are ready for the next grade as is demonstrated by a score of Meets and/or Masters on the STAAR test. **Root Cause**: Inconsistent implementation of scientifically based literacy practices and approaches.

School Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 1: The High Reliability Schools Model: Survey of staff Level II, Effective Teaching in Every Classroom demonstrated a need to grow teachers' understanding of an instructional model, including understanding of included instructional actions and development of a common language. **Root Cause**: Teachers do not have a common understanding of the meaning and use of each instructional action within the Plano ISD instructional model.

Campus Level Improvement Committee

Committee Role	Name	Position
Administrator	Arron Moeller	Principal
Administrator	Elly Ried	Asst. Principal
Counselor	Mary Duncan	Counselor
Classroom Teacher	Cloe Wilson	Kinder Teacher
Classroom Teacher	Trish Alway	First Grade Teacher
Classroom Teacher	Miranda Delgado	Second Grade Teacher
Classroom Teacher	Amber Jeffcoat	Third Grade Teacher
Classroom Teacher	Bre Alston	Fourth Grade Teacher
Classroom Teacher	Martha Kuykendall	Fifth Grade Teacher
Classroom Teacher	Anna Cordova	ESL Teacher
Parent	Felisha Payne	Parent
Parent	Aruba Shaikh	Parent
Parent	Jennifer Rickard	Parent
Parent	Jill Ray	Parent
Classroom Teacher	Valeda Logan	Parent
Parent	Kendra Carnes	Parent
Community Representative	Donna Brown	Community Member
Community Representative	Jerry Cowan	Community member
Business Representative	AMIR FARAHMAND	Business Representative
Community Representative	Enrique Mortel	Community Member
Classroom Teacher	Stephani Biagi	Sped Teacher

Addendums

HB3 Campus Goals - All Grades STAAR at Meets Standard

Hunt

2019 Rates: Based on percent of students at STAAR All Grades Meets Grade Level standard on Accountability Subset based on Domain 1 Rules.

2019 Number Tested: If students at Meets standard is less than 5, the number of students tested is not displayed for masking rules.

Campus 2024 Goal for each student group based on the increase for each group required at the district level.

Target for interim years based achieving increases of 12% in 2020, 15% in 2021, 18% in 2022, 25% in 2023, and 30% in 2024 of the required overall increase from 2019 to 2024.

Reading

Year	African American	Hispanic	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	Two or More	Special Ed.	Eco Disadv.	Former Spec. Ed.	EL Current	Cont. Enrolled	Non- Cont.	All
2019	56	76	77		82		86	50	56	50	75	78	75	77
2020	58	78	77		82		87	51	58	51	77	78	76	78
2021	60	81	78		83		89	53	61	53	79	79	78	79
2022	63	84	79		84		90	55	65	55	81	80	80	81
2023	67	89	80		85		93	57	70	58	85	81	83	83
2024	72	94	81		86		96	60	76	62	89	82	87	85

Mathematics

Year	African American	Hispanic	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	Two or More	Special Ed.	Eco Disadv.	Former Spec. Ed.	EL Current	Cont. Enrolled	Non- Cont.	All
2019	56	83	82		87		86	55	47	83	84	83	74	81
2020	58	85	82		87		87	56	49	84	85	83	75	82
2021	60	87	83		88		88	58	51	85	86	84	76	82
2022	63	90	83		88		89	60	54	87	88	84	78	83
2023	67	94	84		89		90	62	58	89	90	85	80	85
2024	72	99	85		90		92	65	63	92	93	86	83	86

HB3 Early Childhood Campus Goals - Grade 3 STAAR at Meets Standard

Hunt

2019 Rates: Based on percent of students at STAAR Grade 3 Meets Grade Level standard on Accountability Subset based on Domain 1 Rules.

2019 Number Tested: If students at Meets standard is less than 5, the number of students tested is not displayed for masking rules.

Campus 2024 Goal for each student group based on the increase for each group required at the district level.

Target for interim years based achieving increases of 12% in 2020, 15% in 2021, 18% in 2022, 25% in 2023, and 30% in 2024 of the required overall increase from 2019 to 2024.

Reading

Year	African American	Hispanic	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	Two or More	Special Ed.	Eco Disadv.	Former Spec. Ed.	EL Current	Cont. Enrolled	Non- Cont.	All
2019 # of Students	17		41		44			16	12		20	98	12	110
2019	47	60	71		75			56	58		65	67	75	68
2020	49	62	71		75			57	60		67	67	76	69
2021	51	65	72		76			59	63		69	68	78	70
2022	54	68	73		77			61	67		71	69	80	72
2023	58	73	74		78			63	72		75	70	83	74
2024	63	78	75		79			66	78		79	71	87	76

District Goals for Grade 3 STAAR

District 2019	44	40	70	43	77	-	57	37	37	53	48	63	53	60
Baseline														
District 2024	60	58	74	60	81	68	67	47	57	65	62	67	65	68
Goal			, ,		01		0,	.,				0,		
District Increase	4	4	1	4	1	2	3	3	5	3	4	1	3	2
2019 to 2021			1					3				_	3	
District Increase	16	18	4	17	4	8	10	10	20	12	14	4	12	8
2019 to 2024	10	10		1/	7		10	10	20	12	1 1		12	U

HB3 Early Childhood Campus Goals - Grade 3 STAAR at Meets Standard

Hunt

2019 Rates: Based on percent of students at STAAR Grade 3 Meets Grade Level standard on Accountability Subset based on Domain 1 Rules.

2019 Number Tested: If students at Meets standard is less than 5, the number of students tested is not displayed for masking rules.

Campus 2024 Goal for each student group based on the increase for each group required at the district level.

Target for interim years based achieving increases of 12% in 2020, 15% in 2021, 18% in 2022, 25% in 2023, and 30% in 2024 of the required overall increase from 2019 to 2024.

Mathematics

Year	African American	Hispanic	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	Two or More	Special Ed.	Eco Disadv.	Former Spec. Ed.	EL Current	Cont. Enrolled	Non- Cont.	All
2019 # of Students	17		41		44			16	12		20	98	12	110
2019	47	80	83		84			63	42		75	79	75	78
2020	49	82	83		84			64	44		76	79	76	79
2021	51	84	84		85			66	46		77	80	77	79
2022	54	87	84		85			68	49		79	80	79	80
2023	58	91	85		86			70	53		81	81	81	82
2024	63	96	86		87			73	58		84	82	84	83

District Goals for Grade 3 STAAR

District 2019	43	44	72	71	85	_	63	40	43	57	58	67	57	65
Baseline	3		, 2	/ -	05		05	40	15	3,		07		
District 2024	59	60	75	74	88	70	69	50	59	66	67	70	66	70
Goal				/ -			05	50			07	/0		
District Increase	4	4	1	1	1	2	2	2	1	2	2	1	2	2
2019 to 2021								3				1		
District Increase	16	16	2	2	2	5	6	10	16	a	۵	2	۵	5
2019 to 2024	10	10	3	3	3	5	0	10	10		9		9	

Hunt - STAAR Grade 4 Writing

The percent of 4th grade students that score Meets grade level or above on STAAR Writing Grade 4 will increase from 71% in 2019 to 73% by June 2021.

		Yearly Target Goals		
2020	2021	2022	2023	2024
72% 2019 Baseline: 71%	73%	75%	77%	79%

			Closir	ng the G	iaps Stu	ıdent G	roups Y	early Ta	argets					
	African American	Hispanic	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	Special Ed	Eco. Disadv.	Special Ed (Former)	EL	Cont. Enrolled	Non-Cont. Enrolled	All
2019 Baseline	45	57	71	*	79	*	75	*	36	*	74	77	56	71
2020	46	59	71	*	79	*	76	*	38	*	75	77	57	72
2021	49	61	72	*	80	*	77	*	41	*	77	78	59	73
2022	52	65	72	*	80	*	79	*	45	*	80	78	61	75
2023	56	69	73	*	81	*	81	*	50	*	83	79	64	77
2024	61	75	75	*	83	*	84	*	56	*	88	81	67	79
2019-2021	4	4	1	*	1	*	2	*	5	*	3	1	3	2
2019 Baseline	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
2020	1	2	0	1	0	1	1	1	2	1	1	0	1	1
2021	4	4	1	4	1	2	2	2	5	3	3	1	3	2
2022	7	8	1	7	1	4	4	4	9	5	6	1	5	4
2023	11	12	2	11	2	6	6	7	14	8	9	2	8	6
2024	16	18	4	16	4	8	9	10	20	11	14	4	11	8

Hunt - STAAR Grade 5 Science

The percent of 5th grade students that score Meets grade level or above on STAAR Science Grade 5 will increase from 72% in 2019 to 74% by June 2021.

Yearly Target Goals

2020	2021	2022	2023	2024
73%	740/	750/	760/	770/
2019 Baseline: 72%	74%	/5%	76%	/ /%

Closing the Gaps Student Groups Yearly Targets

	African American	Hispanic	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	Special Ed	Eco. Disadv.	Special Ed (Former)	EL	Cont. Enrolled	Non-Cont. Enrolled	All
2019 Baseline	83	53	71	*	76	*	*	50	44	*	59	71	74	72
2020	84	54	71	*	76	*	*	51	45	*	60	71	75	73
2021	87	57	71	*	76	*	*	52	48	*	61	71	76	74
2022	90	59	72	*	77	*	*	54	51	*	62	72	78	75
2023	94	63	72	*	77	*	*	57	55	*	64	72	80	76
2024	99	68	73	*	78	*	*	60	60	*	67	73	83	77
2019-2021	4	4	0	*	0	*	*	2	4	*	2	0	2	2
2019 Baseline	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
2020	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	0	1	1
2021	4	4	0	0	0	2	1	2	4	2	2	0	2	2
2022	7	6	1	1	1	3	2	4	7	4	3	1	4	3
2023	11	10	1	1	1	4	4	7	11	6	5	1	6	4
2024	16	15	2	2	2	5	6	10	16	9	8	2	9	5

CAMPUS APPENDIX

STATE MANDATES IMPLEMENTATION REFERENCE

Texas law and Board Policies mandate the following be addressed with strategies for improving student performance. To increase the LEA's ability to focus on a limited number of targeted initiatives in this improvement plan, the LEA will plan, implement, monitor and evaluate the following mandates through other procedures and practices. When requested, the LEA Person Responsible will report progress to the site-based committee.

LEA Person Responsible for update	Mandate	Reference	Location of Documentation
Executive Director for Student & Family Services	Staff Prevention	TEC 11.252(a)(3)(E)	The school will follow the Student Handbook and Board Policies: FFI, FDB, FFF, FFH, FO, CQA, and FFB.

	 Employ discipline interventions Use other intervention strategies as necessary/appropriate Conference with parents/students 		
Principal	Coordinated School Health K-8 Designate a Campus Wellness Captain and establish a Campus Wellness Team; set meetings, establish measurable goals and document progress toward goal completion. K-8 Include at least one Parent on Campus Wellness Team. K-8 Ensure that all components of the Coordinated School Health curriculum are delivered in an appropriate setting, i.e. classroom component requires use of a classroom. K-8 Create a Coordinated School Health bulletin board inside the school building for students, staff and parents to view. K-8 Notify parents/community members of Family Wellness Nights/Health Fairs through use of marquee, newsletter, web page, and/or myPISD. Fitness 3-8 Pre and Post Assess all eligible students using fitness test components. 4th and 7th Ensure all data for 3rd - 8th grade students is entered on timely basis, fitness report cards are printed (4th grade and 7th grade) and sent to parents or linked through myPISD. Include at least one Parent on Campus Wellness Team. Physical Activity Requirements K-8 Campuses Only: Ensure students are receiving required physical education classes/minutes for each school year and achieving moderate to vigorous physical activity (MVPA) 50% of the physical education class period.	TEC 11.253(d) Board Policy FFA(Local)	The school will follow Board Policies: FFA and EHAA.

	using pedometers and heart rate monitors. K-8 Ensure physical education staff is using a sequential and developmentally appropriate curriculum which has students active at least 70%-90% of class time. K-5 Ensure students are receiving daily unstructured play during recess. K-5 Encourage opportunities for brain breaks and short activity breaks throughout the day. Attendance K-8 Monitor attendance of students and follow up on prominent and chronic absences.		
Principal	Recruiting Certified Teachers and Highly-Qualified Paraprofessionals • Local on-going high quality professional development based on campus needs or district identified needs is provided to all teachers in all core subject areas. • Funding source: State and Local	ESSA	
Principal	 Parent Involvement Require all parents to register students via Parent Portal in order to have access to eNews, grades, attendance, and other electronic information. Funding Sources: SCE, Title I and Local Identify parents without computer/Internet access and offer assistance through the District Mobile Technology Lab for parent education and access. Funding Sources: SCE, Title I and Local Upgrade and maintain the campus website for easy access and increased communication with the community. Funding source: State and Local Communicate information through eNews and through hard copies when Internet access is not available. Funding source: State and Local Utilize social media to keep parents and 		

 community informed. Funding source: State and Local PTA representative meets with the principal on a monthly basis to gain insight to student/parent needs. Funding source: State and Local Partner with PTA to offer parental programs on a variety of topics (academic, social, etc). Funding source: State and Local Parent Education programs focused on relevant topics of interest will be available upon request by 		
any campus or PTA		