Plano Independent School District

Rose Haggar Elementary

2021-2022



Board Approval Date: November 3, 2021

Mission Statement

Rose Haggar is home to Pre-K-5th grade Mariners, where staff believe in teaching, inspiring, and growing the whole child. #haggarlearns

Vision

RMH Instructional Expectations

Table of Contents

Rose Haggar is home to Pre-K-5th grade Mariners, where staff believe in teaching, inspiring, and growing the whole child. #haggarlearns	2
Comprehensive Needs Assessment	4
Demographics	4
Student Learning	4
School Processes & Programs	5
Perceptions	6
Priority Problem Statements	7
Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation	8
Goals	10
Goal 1: DIP - Plano ISD will increase student learning as evidenced by an increase in the percentage of students performing at the Meets grade level standard on STAAR/EOC Reading and STAAR Writing from 2019 to 2022.	11
Goal 2: DIP - Plano ISD will increase student learning as evidenced by an increase in the percentage of students performing at the Meets grade level standard on STAAR/EOC Math from 2019 to 2022.	16
Goal 3: DIP - Plano ISD will increase student learning in Science as evidenced by an increase in the percentage of students performing at the Meets grade level standard on STAAR/EOC Science from 2019 to 2022.	22
21-22 SBIC Committee	25
Campus Funding Summary	26
Addendums	27

Comprehensive Needs Assessment

Demographics

Demographics Summary

We are a Title 1 school according to state accountability ratings that are based on 3 domains: student achievement, student progress, and closing the gaps. Our school met the overall standard on the accountability summary report, with a score of 90 out of 100. 4 distinctions were earned. The majority of students who are considered at risk are also qualifying as economically disadvantaged.

Demographics Strengths

English Language population is average compared to district.

Teacher/student ratio is comparative to state and district averages.

Despite high mobility rate, Haggar earned the distinctions in closing the gap and comparative academic growth.

Problem Statements Identifying Demographics Needs

Problem Statement 1: Our Economically Disadvantaged students rate is 36.9%, which is 12% higher than the district average at 27.1%. **Root Cause:** Parents may be unaware or unable to support the students academically/emotionally.

Problem Statement 2: Our mobility rate is 16.3%, which is 6% higher than the district average at 10.7%.

Student Learning

Student Learning Summary

Haggar Elementary Goals Including HB3 Goals: (see tables in addendum): Individual goals are set for each student group with the expectation of high standards as well as to narrow the gap between each group and the All Students group. If the student group is below the All Students group, that student group's goal for 2024 is to narrow the performance gap by half as well as meet the overall percentage increase in the STAAR Meets Grade Level performance required for the All Students group.

Projected Proficiency Summary Report and Observed Proficiency Summary Report are comparable; projected growth does not align with profiency; The STAAR Spring 2018 data indicates that Economically Disadvantaged and ESL students do not follow the trend that the rest of the campus follows; Some groups of students are not meeting their expected yearly growth according to MAP and STAAR data, consistency of education in Plano ISD may have contributed to the growth; projected growth is not enough for the students who start at Plano ISD significantly below the grade level standards; structured intervention is significantly increasing student growth; 2017 scores were better than 2018 scores

Student Learning Strengths

MAP scores are accurately projecting STAAR proficiency

5th grade STAAR reports show most 5th graders are meeting projected growth

Problem Statements Identifying Student Learning Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): Tier II and Tier III students have been effectively identified in the classrooms, but there is still a discrepancy between economically disadvantaged student performance when compared to the overall performance. **Root Cause:** lack of consistent enrollment on our campus

Problem Statement 2: The percentage of students meeting the Approaches Grade Level Standard on STAAR Writing is 58%. Gaps exist between the performance of economically disadvantaged students (38%) compared to the campus non-economically disadvantaged (70%). Gaps exist between the performance of ESL students (22%) as well.

Problem Statement 3 (Prioritized): The percentage of students meeting the Masters Grade Level Standard on STAAR Reading at Rose Haggar is below the district's percentage. Third grade by a discrepancy of 13%, fourth grade by 9%, and fifth grade by 10%. **Root Cause:** lack of extension opportunities for high performing students

Problem Statement 4 (Prioritized): The percentage of students attaining limited or expected growth as measured by STAAR is as follows: 4th grade math: 53%; 4th grade reading: 62%; 5th grade math: 26%; 5th grade reading: 46%. **Root Cause:** lack of targeted instruction for students at all levels

School Processes & Programs

School Processes & Programs Summary

Master schedule built to allow instructional support staff availability at all grade level Intervention/Extension times; Collaborative Teams meet to "unpack the TEKS"; Quick Data used to have teacher voice in decision making; teachers were allowed to participate in a Reflections Focus Group to reflect on and refine their practice, which was led by a teacher on campus; Professional Learning used to address school's instructional priorities; time is allotted for vertical conversations as needed; Social Emotional Learning strategies are embedded in instruction

School Processes & Programs Strengths

Use of Title I & III funds to provide additional math and reading support for students across grade levels

T-TESS reflects that most teachers are performing at the Proficient level or higher in all domains

Collaborative Teams meet regularly and also utilize extended planning days

Teachers are asked to provide feedback on various topics multiple times during the school year

Campus-wide use of Social Emotional Learning strategies

Problem Statements Identifying School Processes & Programs Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): Teachers have been trained in Common Formative Assessments, but are not regularly using them in Collaborative Teaming. **Root Cause:** Collaborative Teaming not previously grounded in CT Framework

Problem Statement 2 (Prioritized): The Master Schedule reflects designated blocks for Intervention and Extension in each grade level, but Intervention and Extension time is not consistently meeting the needs of all learners. Root Cause: lack of CT planning for both intervention and extension during designated times

Perceptions

Perceptions Summary

Most staff and students feel safe at school; Almost all of the staff feel supported; student focus group responses show that students feel like their teachers make learning fun and are creative; parents who responded to a quick parent survey feel supported; parents have also reported that RMH staff is welcoming and that they are happy attending our school; We use Social Emotional Learning and restorative practices to manage behaviors

Perceptions Strengths

Staff use Social Emotional Learning and restorative practices to manage behaviors

Expectations are communicated during conferences and in the classroom

Campus safety audit indicates that our school was safe, and visitors were checked in using a government ID and monitored throughout the building

Students in a focus group indicated that their accomplishments are celebrated with Mariner MVPs and brag tags

Accomplishments are celebrated by teachers and students schoolwide

Title I parent breakfast and meetings are offered multiple times a year as a service to parents

Title I snacks offered for students Food for Kids provide healthy snacks for our students in need

Surveys are offered to parents for them to fill out to get their input and feedback

Problem Statements Identifying Perceptions Needs

Problem Statement 1: Surveys are available for parents and staff to fill out, but we have a low response rate when considering the number of people we are asking to respond. Root Cause: Delivery of survey

Problem Statement 2 (Prioritized): Response to Intervention and student goals are tracked in Edugence, but teachers are not consistently reviewing and entering goals for all Tier 2 students every two weeks. **Root Cause:** lack of emphasis on importance of updated goals

Priority Problem Statements

Problem Statement 1: Tier II and Tier III students have been effectively identified in the classrooms, but there is still a discrepancy between economically disadvantaged student performance when compared to the overall performance.
Root Cause 1: lack of consistent enrollment on our campus
Problem Statement 1 Areas: Student Learning

Problem Statement 4: Teachers have been trained in Common Formative Assessments, but are not regularly using them in Collaborative Teaming.
Root Cause 4: Collaborative Teaming not previously grounded in CT Framework
Problem Statement 4 Areas: School Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 5: The Master Schedule reflects designated blocks for Intervention and Extension in each grade level, but Intervention and Extension time is not consistently meeting the needs of all learners.

Root Cause 5: lack of CT planning for both intervention and extension during designated times

Problem Statement 5 Areas: School Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 6: Response to Intervention and student goals are tracked in Edugence, but teachers are not consistently reviewing and entering goals for all Tier 2 students every two weeks.

Root Cause 6: lack of emphasis on importance of updated goals

Problem Statement 6 Areas: Perceptions

Problem Statement 2: The percentage of students meeting the Masters Grade Level Standard on STAAR Reading at Rose Haggar is below the district's percentage. Third grade by a discrepancy of 13%, fourth grade by 9%, and fifth grade by 10%.

Root Cause 2: lack of extension opportunities for high performing students

Problem Statement 2 Areas: Student Learning

Problem Statement 3: The percentage of students attaining limited or expected growth as measured by STAAR is as follows: 4th grade math: 53%; 4th grade reading: 62%; 5th grade math: 26%; 5th grade reading: 46%.

Root Cause 3: lack of targeted instruction for students at all levels

Problem Statement 3 Areas: Student Learning

Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation

The following data were used to verify the comprehensive needs assessment analysis:

Improvement Planning Data

- District goals
- HB3 CCMR goals
- Performance Objectives with summative review (prior year)
- Campus/District improvement plans (current and prior years)
- State and federal planning requirements

Accountability Data

- Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR) data
- Student Achievement Domain
- Student Progress Domain
- Closing the Gaps Domain
- Comprehensive, Targeted, and/or Additional Targeted Support Identification data
- Accountability Distinction Designations
- Federal Report Card Data

Student Data: Assessments

- State and federally required assessment information
- (STAAR) current and longitudinal results, including all versions
- Texas English Language Proficiency Assessment System (TELPAS) and TELPAS Alternate results
- Local diagnostic reading assessment data
- Observation Survey results
- Texas approved PreK 2nd grade assessment data

Student Data: Student Groups

- Male / Female performance, progress, and participation data
- Special education/non-special education population including discipline, progress and participation data
- · Migrant/non-migrant population including performance, progress, discipline, attendance and mobility data
- At-risk/non-at-risk population including performance, progress, discipline, attendance, and mobility data
- EL/non-EL or LEP data, including academic achievement, progress, support and accommodation needs, race, ethnicity, gender, etc.
- Dyslexia Data
- Response to Intervention (RtI) student achievement data

Student Data: Behavior and Other Indicators

- Attendance data
- Mobility rate, including longitudinal data
- Discipline records
- Student surveys and/or other feedback
- Class size averages by grade and subject

- School safety data
- Enrollment trends

Employee Data

- Professional learning communities (PLC) data
- Staff surveys and/or other feedback
- Teacher/Student Ratio
- State certified and high quality staff data
- Campus leadership data
- Campus department and/or faculty meeting discussions and data
- Professional development needs assessment data

Parent/Community Data

- Parent surveys and/or other feedback
- Community surveys and/or other feedback

Support Systems and Other Data

• Communications data

Goals

Goal 1: DIP - Plano ISD will increase student learning as evidenced by an increase in the percentage of students performing at the Meets grade level standard on STAAR/EOC Reading and STAAR Writing from 2019 to 2022.

Performance Objective 1: HB3 - The percent of Haggar 3rd grade students that score meets grade level or above on STAAR Reading will increase from 48% in 2019 to 52% by June 2022. The Hispanic student group performance will increase from 33% in 2019 to 41% in 2022. The Economically Disadvantaged student group performance will increase from 42% in 2019 to 51% in 2022.

HB3 Goal

Evaluation Data Sources: 2022 STAAR Assessment

Strategy 1 Details	For	Formative Reviews		
Strategy 1: Use formative and summative assessment data to drive instruction.		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Teachers will use results of Common Formative Assessment as well as district and unit assessments to determine the focus of each small group. Small group attendees will be fluid, changing members as needed as a	Nov	Feb	June	
result of assessment data results. Students will learn grade level material specific to their level of learning.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Assistant Principal		25%	60%	
Teachers				
Schoolwide and Targeted Assistance Title I Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math				
Strategy 2 Details	For	mative Revi	iews	
Strategy 2: Use of RMH Instructional Expectations and Collaborative Team Framework to plan for instruction.		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Strategies for effective teaching in every classroom and Collaborative Team Planning will be unified.	Nov	Feb	June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Assistant Principal Teachers		50%	100%	

Strategy 3 Details	For	rmative Rev	iews
Strategy 3: Dedicated Intervention and Extension time built into each grade level's schedule.		Formative	
 Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Master Schedule reflects time in every grade level dedicated to Intervention and Extension so that student's academic needs can be met and so that campus-based specialists and Title I Tutors can assist with intervention and extension in grade levels. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Assistant Principal Leadership Team 	Nov	Feb	June
Schoolwide and Targeted Assistance Title I Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math Strategy 4 Details	Fo	rmative Rev	iews
Strategy 4: Collaboration between campus-level specialists and grade level teachers		Formative	
-Learner Support Meetings -Student Support Team Meetings	Nov	Feb	June
-Extended Planning -Edugence Goals		50%	100%
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Targeted Edugence goals documented by Proficiency Rating Scale; Specialist serving grade levels during IE time			
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Assistant Principal			
Specialists Grade Level Teachers			
Schoolwide and Targeted Assistance Title I Elements: 2.5			
Strategy 5 Details	Foi	rmative Rev	iews
Strategy 5: Parent engagement opportunities to build parent capacity to support student learning		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Title I Breakfasts PACE/Dyslexia Parent Meetings	Nov	Feb	June
Parent/Teacher Conferences			
Parent Involvement in Intensive Intervention process		25%	75%
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Title I Teacher			
ESL Specialist			
Dyslexia Specialist PACE Specialist			
Schoolwide and Targeted Assistance Title I Elements: 3.1, 3.2			

Strategy 6 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 6: Daily implementation of Social Emotional Learning strategies to foster an equitable, diverse, and inclusive learning environment		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Meet the social and academic needs of diverse learners	Nov	Feb	June
Opportunities for reflection and problem solving Strengthening of peer relationships Provide resources for student social growth Staff Responsible for Monitoring: All staff		50%	100%
Schoolwide and Targeted Assistance Title I Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6			
Image: No Progress Image: No Pro	e		

Goal 1: DIP - Plano ISD will increase student learning as evidenced by an increase in the percentage of students performing at the Meets grade level standard on STAAR/EOC Reading and STAAR Writing from 2019 to 2022.

Performance Objective 2: The percent of Haggar students that score Meets grade level or above on STAAR Reading 3-5 will increase from 58% in 2019 to 62% by June 2022. The SPED student group performance will increase from 39% in 2019 to 44% in 2022. The African American student group performance will increase from 40% in 2019 to 47% in 2022.

Evaluation Data Sources: 2022 STAAR Assessment

Strategy 1 Details	For	Formative Reviews		
Strategy 1: Use formative and summative assessment data to drive instruction.		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Teachers will use results of Common Formative Assessment as well as district and unit assessments to determine the focus of each small group. Small group attendees will be fluid, changing members as needed as a result of assessment data results. Students will learn grade level material specific to their level of learning.	Nov	Feb	June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Assistant Principal Teachers		25%	60%	
Schoolwide and Targeted Assistance Title I Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math Strategy 2 Details	For	mative Revi	owe	
	T UI	Formative		
Strategy 2: Use of RMH Instructional Expectations and Collaborative Team Framework to plan for instruction. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Strategies for effective teaching in every classroom and Collaborative Team Planning will be	•••		1	
unified.	Nov	Feb	June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Assistant Principal Teachers		50%	100%	
Schoolwide and Targeted Assistance Title I Elements: 2.4, 2.5 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math				

Strategy 3 Details	For	rmative Rev	iews
Strategy 3: Dedicated Intervention and Extension time built into each grade level's schedule.		Formative	
 Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Master Schedule reflects time in every grade level dedicated to Intervention and Extension so that student's academic needs can be met and so that campus-based specialists and Title I Tutors can assist with intervention and extension in grade levels. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Assistant Principal Leadership Team 	Nov	Feb	June
Schoolwide and Targeted Assistance Title I Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math Strategy 4 Details	Fo	rmative Rev	iews
Strategy 4: Collaboration between campus-level specialists and grade level teachers		Formative	
-Learner Support Meetings -Student Support Team Meetings	Nov	Feb	June
-Extended Planning -Edugence Goals		50%	100%
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Targeted Edugence goals documented by Proficiency Rating Scale; Specialist serving grade levels during IE time			
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Assistant Principal			
Specialists Grade Level Teachers			
Schoolwide and Targeted Assistance Title I Elements: 2.5			
Strategy 5 Details	Foi	rmative Rev	iews
Strategy 5: Parent engagement opportunities to build parent capacity to support student learning		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Title I Breakfasts PACE/Dyslexia Parent Meetings	Nov	Feb	June
Parent/Teacher Conferences			
Parent Involvement in Intensive Intervention process		25%	75%
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Title I Teacher			
ESL Specialist			
Dyslexia Specialist PACE Specialist			
Schoolwide and Targeted Assistance Title I Elements: 3.1, 3.2			

Strategy 6 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 6: Daily implementation of Social Emotional Learning strategies to foster an equitable, diverse, and inclusive learning environment		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Meet the social and academic needs of diverse learners	Nov	Feb	June
Opportunities for reflection and problem solving Strengthening of peer relationships Provide resources for student social growth Staff Responsible for Monitoring: All staff		50%	100%
Schoolwide and Targeted Assistance Title I Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6			
Image: No Progress Image: No Pro	e		

Goal 2: DIP - Plano ISD will increase student learning as evidenced by an increase in the percentage of students performing at the Meets grade level standard on STAAR/EOC Math from 2019 to 2022.

Performance Objective 1: HB3 - The percent of Haggar 3rd grade students that score meets grade level or above on STAAR Math will increase from 45% in 2019 to 47% by June 2022. The African American student group performance will increase from 29% in 2019 to 36% in 2022. The Economically Disadvantaged student group performance will increase from 31% in 2019 to 38% in 2022.

HB3 Goal

Evaluation Data Sources: 2022 STAAR Assessment

Strategy 1 Details	For	Formative Reviews	
Strategy 1: Use formative and summative assessment data to drive instruction.		Formative	
 Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Teachers will use results of Common Formative Assessment as well as district and unit assessments to determine the focus of each small group. Small group attendees will be fluid, changing members as needed as a result of assessment data results. Students will learn grade level material specific to their level of learning. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Assistant Principal Teachers Schoolwide and Targeted Assistance Title I Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math 	Nov	Feb 25%	June
Strategy 2 Details	For	mative Revi	iews
Strategy 2: Use of RMH Instructional Expectations and Collaborative Team Framework to plan for instruction.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Strategies for effective teaching in every classroom and Collaborative Team Planning will be unified.	Nov	Feb	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Assistant Principal Teachers		50%	100%

Strategy 3 Details	Fo	rmative Rev	iews
Strategy 3: Dedicated Intervention and Extension time built into each grade level's schedule.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Master Schedule reflects time in every grade level dedicated to Intervention and Extension so that student's academic needs can be met and so that campus-based specialists and Title I Tutors can assist with intervention and extension in grade levels.	Nov	Feb	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Assistant Principal Leadership Team		50%	05%
Schoolwide and Targeted Assistance Title I Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math Strategy 4 Details	Ea	rmative Rev	iowa
Strategy 4: Collaboration between campus-level specialists and grade level teachers	FU	Formative	
-Learner Support Meetings	Nov	Feb	1
-Student Support Team Meetings	INUV	reb	June
-Extended Planning -Edugence Goals		50%	100%
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Targeted Edugence goals documented by Proficiency Rating Scale; Specialist serving grade levels during IE time			
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Assistant Principal			
Specialists Grade Level Teachers			
Schoolwide and Targeted Assistance Title I Elements: 2.5			
Strategy 5 Details	Fo	rmative Rev	iews
Strategy 5: Parent engagement opportunities to build parent capacity to support student learning		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Title I Breakfasts PACE/Dyslexia Parent Meetings	Nov	Feb	June
Parent/Teacher Conferences Parent Involvement in CMIT process		25%	75%
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal			
Title I Teacher			
ESL Specialist Dyslexia Specialist			
PACE Specialist			
Schoolwide and Targeted Assistance Title I Elements: 3.1, 3.2			
~ choose and in Brown house the i Diemonion 511, 512			

Strategy 6 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 6: Daily implementation of Social Emotional Learning strategies to foster an equitable, diverse, and inclusive learning environment		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Meet the social and academic needs of diverse learners	Nov	Feb	June
Opportunities for reflection and problem solving Strengthening of peer relationships Provide resources for student social growth Staff Responsible for Monitoring: All staff		50%	100%
Schoolwide and Targeted Assistance Title I Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6			
Image: No Progress Image: No Pro	e		

Goal 2: DIP - Plano ISD will increase student learning as evidenced by an increase in the percentage of students performing at the Meets grade level standard on STAAR/EOC Math from 2019 to 2022.

Performance Objective 2: The percent of Haggar students that score Meets grade level or above on STAAR Math 3-5 will increase from 61% in 2019 to 63% by June 2022. The African American student group performance will increase from 35% in 2019 to 42% in 2022. The Economically Disadvantaged student group performance will increase from 42% in 2019 to 49% in 2022.

Evaluation Data Sources: 2022 STAAR Assessment

Strategy 1 Details	For	Formative Reviews	
Strategy 1: Use formative and summative assessment data to drive instruction.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Teachers will use results of Common Formative Assessment as well as district and unit assessments to determine the focus of each small group. Small group attendees will be fluid, changing members as needed as a result of assessment data results. Students will learn grade level material specific to their level of learning.	Nov	Feb	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Assistant Principal Teachers		25%	60%
Schoolwide and Targeted Assistance Title I Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math			
Strategy 2 Details	For	mative Revi	iews
Strategy 2: Use of RMH Instructional Expectations and Collaborative Team Framework to plan for instruction.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Strategies for effective teaching in every classroom and Collaborative Team Planning will be unified.	Nov	Feb	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Assistant Principal Teachers		50%	100%
Schoolwide and Targeted Assistance Title I Elements: 2.4, 2.5			

Strategy 3 Details	Fo	rmative Rev	iews
Strategy 3: Dedicated Intervention and Extension time built into each grade level's schedule.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Master Schedule reflects time in every grade level dedicated to Intervention and Extension so that student's academic needs can be met and so that campus-based specialists and Title I Tutors can assist with intervention and extension in grade levels.	Nov	Feb	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Assistant Principal Leadership Team		50%	05%
Schoolwide and Targeted Assistance Title I Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math Strategy 4 Details	Ea	rmative Rev	iowa
Strategy 4: Collaboration between campus-level specialists and grade level teachers	FU	Formative	
-Learner Support Meetings	Nov	Feb	1
-Student Support Team Meetings	INUV	reb	June
-Extended Planning -Edugence Goals		50%	100%
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Targeted Edugence goals documented by Proficiency Rating Scale; Specialist serving grade levels during IE time			
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Assistant Principal			
Specialists Grade Level Teachers			
Schoolwide and Targeted Assistance Title I Elements: 2.5			
Strategy 5 Details	Fo	rmative Rev	iews
Strategy 5: Parent engagement opportunities to build parent capacity to support student learning		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Title I Breakfasts PACE/Dyslexia Parent Meetings	Nov	Feb	June
Parent/Teacher Conferences Parent Involvement in CMIT process		25%	75%
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal			
Title I Teacher			
ESL Specialist Dyslexia Specialist			
PACE Specialist			
Schoolwide and Targeted Assistance Title I Elements: 3.1, 3.2			
~ choose and in Brown house the i Diemonion 511, 512			

Strategy 6 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 6: Daily implementation of Social Emotional Learning strategies to foster an equitable, diverse, and inclusive learning environment		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Meet the social and academic needs of diverse learners	Nov	Feb	June
Opportunities for reflection and problem solving Strengthening of peer relationships Provide resources for student social growth Staff Responsible for Monitoring: All staff		50%	100%
Schoolwide and Targeted Assistance Title I Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6			
Image: No Progress Image: No Pro	e		

Goal 3: DIP - Plano ISD will increase student learning in Science as evidenced by an increase in the percentage of students performing at the Meets grade level standard on STAAR/EOC Science from 2019 to 2022.

Performance Objective 1: The percent of Haggar students that score Meets grade level or above on STAAR Science 5 will increase from 54% in 2019 to 57% by June 2022. The African American student group performance will increase from 20% in 2019 to 27% in 2022. The Economically Disadvantaged student group performance will increase from 38% in 2019 to 45% in 2022.

Evaluation Data Sources: 2022 STAAR Assessment

Strategy 1 Details	For	mative Revi	iews				
Strategy 1: Use formative and summative assessment data to drive instruction.		Formative					
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Teachers will use results of Common Formative Assessment as well as district and unit assessments to determine the focus of each small group. Small group attendees will be fluid, changing members as needed as a result of assessment data results. Students will learn grade level material specific to their level of learning.	Nov	Feb	June				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Assistant Principal Teachers		25%	60%				
Schoolwide and Targeted Assistance Title I Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6							
Strategy 2 Details	For	mative Revi	iews				
Strategy 2: Use of RMH Instructional Expectations and Collaborative Team Framework to plan for instruction.		Formative					
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Strategies for effective teaching in every classroom and Collaborative Team Planning will be unified.	Nov	Feb	June				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Assistant Principal Teachers		50%	100%				
Schoolwide and Targeted Assistance Title I Elements: 2.4, 2.5 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math							

Strategy 3 Details	For	rmative Rev	iews
Strategy 3: Dedicated Intervention and Extension time built into each grade level's schedule.		Formative	
 Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Master Schedule reflects time in every grade level dedicated to Intervention and Extension so that student's academic needs can be met and so that campus-based specialists and Title I Tutors can assist with intervention and extension in grade levels. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Assistant Principal Leadership Team 	Nov	Feb	June 85%
Schoolwide and Targeted Assistance Title I Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6			
Strategy 4 Details	Foi	rmative Rev	
Strategy 4: Collaboration between campus-level specialists and grade level teachers Learner Support Meetings		Formative	r
Student Support Team Meetings	Nov	Feb	June
Extended Planning Edugence Goals		50%	100%
 Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Targeted Edugence goals documented by Proficiency Rating Scale; Specialist serving grade levels during IE time Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Assistant Principal Specialists Grade Level Teachers 			
Schoolwide and Targeted Assistance Title I Elements: 2.5			
Strategy 5 Details	Foi	rmative Rev	iews
Strategy 5: Parent engagement opportunities to build parent capacity to support student learning		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Title I Breakfasts PACE/Dyslexia Parent Meetings	Nov	Feb	June
Pace/Dystexia Parent Meetings Parent/Teacher Conferences Parent Involvement in CMIT process		25%	75%
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal			
Title I Teacher ESL Specialist	1		
ESL Specialist Dyslexia Specialist PACE Specialist			

Strategy 6 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 6: Daily implementation of Social Emotional Learning strategies to foster an equitable, diverse, and inclusive learning environment		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Meet the social and academic needs of diverse learners	Nov	Feb	June
Opportunities for reflection and problem solving Strengthening of peer relationships Provide resources for student social growth Staff Responsible for Monitoring: All staff		60%	100%
Schoolwide and Targeted Assistance Title I Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6			
Image: No Progress Image: Accomplished Image: Continue/Modify Image: Continue/Modify	e		

21-22 SBIC Committee

Committee Role	Name	Position
Administrator	Kathryn Brittain	Principal
Administrator	Mary St	Assistant Principal
Non-classroom Professional	Allison Clark	504 Coordinator
Classroom Teacher	Bethany Jacobson	Classroom Teacher
Classroom Teacher	Meghann Budenski	Classroom Teacher
Classroom Teacher	Laurie Evans	Classroom Teacher
Non-classroom Professional	Andrea Hale	Counselor
Non-classroom Professional	Holly Sabin	Title I Teacher
Classroom Teacher	Lauren Hughes	Classroom Teacher
District-level Professional	Jody Duran	Diagnostician
Paraprofessional	Angela Coyle	Paraprofessional
Parent	Sam Shiller	Parent
Parent	Rob Shiller	Parent
Parent	Aly Epstein	Parent
Parent	Stephanie Terrell-Guth	Parent
Parent	Lauren Tzafrir	Parent
Parent	Liz Alvarez	Parent
Community Representative	Cindy Sguigna	Community Member
Business Representative	Whitt Melton	Business Representative

Campus Funding Summary

			199 State Comp Ed		
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed	Account Code	Amount
					\$0.00
				Sub-Total	\$0.00
			Bu	lgeted Fund Source Amount	\$2,350.00
				+/- Difference	\$2,350.00
			199 Bilingual/ESL/ELL		
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed	Account Code	Amount
					\$0.00
				Sub-Tot	al \$0.00
			В	udgeted Fund Source Amour	at \$354.00
				+/- Difference	e \$354.00
			211 Title I, Part A		
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed	Account Code	Amount
					\$0.00
				Sub-Total	\$0.00
			Budge	ted Fund Source Amount	\$128,572.00
				+/- Difference	\$128,572.00
			282 ESSER III		
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed	Account Code	Amount
					\$0.00
				Sub-Total	\$0.00
			Budge	ted Fund Source Amount	\$6,200.00
				+/- Difference	\$6,200.00
				Grand Total Budgeted	\$137,476.00
				Grand Total Spent	\$0.00
				+/- Difference	\$137,476.00

Addendums

HB3 Campus Goals - All Grades STAAR at Meets Standard

2019 Rates: Based on percent of students at STAAR All Grades Meets Grade Level standard on Accountability Subset based on Domain 1 Rules.

2019 Number Tested: If students at Meets standard is less than 5, the number of students tested is not displayed for masking rules.

Campus 2024 Goal for each student group based on the increase for each group required at the district level.

Target for interim years based achieving increases of 12% in 2020, 15% in 2021, 18% in 2022, 25% in 2023, and 30% in 2024 of the required overall increase from 2019 to 2024.

Year	African American	Hispanic	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	Two or More	Special Ed.	Eco Disadv.	Former Spec. Ed.	EL Current	Cont. Enrolled	Non- Cont.	All
2019	40	48	68		79		60	39	44	40	62	66	45	58
2020	42	50	68		79		61	40	46	41	64	66	46	59
2021	44	53	69		80		63	42	49	43	66	67	48	60
2022	47	56	70		81		64	44	53	45	68	68	50	62
2023	51	61	71		82		67	46	58	48	72	69	53	64
2024	56	66	72		83		70	49	64	52	76	70	57	66

Reading

Mathematics

Year	African American	Hispanic	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	Two or More	Special Ed.	Eco Disadv.	Former Spec. Ed.	EL Current	Cont. Enrolled	Non- Cont.	All
2019	35	50	73		83		67	52	42	60	67	71	42	61
2020	37	52	73		83		68	53	44	61	68	71	43	62
2021	39	54	74		84		69	55	46	62	69	72	44	62
2022	42	57	74		84		70	57	49	64	71	72	46	63
2023	46	61	75		85		71	59	53	66	73	73	48	65
2024	51	66	76		86		73	62	58	69	76	74	51	66

Plano ISD - ARPE 7/31/2020 8:24:01 AM

Page 1 of 1

HB3 Early Childhood Campus Goals - Grade 3 STAAR at Meets Standard

2019 Rates: Based on percent of students at STAAR Grade 3 Meets Grade Level standard on Accountability Subset based on Domain 1 Rules.

2019 Number Tested: If students at Meets standard is less than 5, the number of students tested is not displayed for masking rules.

Campus 2024 Goal for each student group based on the increase for each group required at the district level.

Target for interim years based achieving increases of 12% in 2020, 15% in 2021, 18% in 2022, 25% in 2023, and 30% in 2024 of the required overall increase from 2019 to 2024.

Year	African American	Hispanic	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	Two or More	Special Ed.	Eco Disadv.	Former Spec. Ed.	EL Current	Cont. Enrolled	Non- Cont.	All
2019 # of Students	17	15	30				5	7	26		16	50	21	71
2019	47	33	57				40	57	42		50	54	33	48
2020	49	35	57				41	58	44		52	54	34	49
2021	51	38	58				43	60	47		54	55	36	50
2022	54	41	59				44	62	51		56	56	38	52
2023	58	46	60				47	64	56		60	57	41	54
2024	63	51	61				50	67	62		64	58	45	56

Reading

District Goals for Grade 3 STAAR

District 2019 Baseline	44	40	70	43	77	-	57	37	37	53	48	63	53	60
District 2024 Goal	60	58	74	60	81	68	67	47	57	65	62	67	65	68
District Increase 2019 to 2021	4	4	1	4	1	2	3	3	5	3	4	1	3	2
District Increase 2019 to 2024	16	18	4	17	4	8	10	10	20	12	14	4	12	8

HB3 Early Childhood Campus Goals - Grade 3 STAAR at Meets Standard

2019 Rates: Based on percent of students at STAAR Grade 3 Meets Grade Level standard on Accountability Subset based on Domain 1 Rules.

2019 Number Tested: If students at Meets standard is less than 5, the number of students tested is not displayed for masking rules.

Campus 2024 Goal for each student group based on the increase for each group required at the district level.

Target for interim years based achieving increases of 12% in 2020, 15% in 2021, 18% in 2022, 25% in 2023, and 30% in 2024 of the required overall increase from 2019 to 2024.

Year	African American	Hispanic	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	Two or More	Special Ed.	Eco Disadv.	Former Spec. Ed.	EL Current	Cont. Enrolled	Non- Cont.	All
2019 # of Students	17	15	30				5	7	26		16	50	21	71
2019	29	40	53				40	71	31		50	54	24	45
2020	31	42	53				41	72	33		51	54	25	46
2021	33	44	54				42	74	35		52	55	26	46
2022	36	47	54				43	76	38		54	55	28	47
2023	40	51	55				44	78	42		56	56	30	49
2024	45	56	56				46	81	47		59	57	33	50

Mathematics

District Goals for Grade 3 STAAR

District 2019 Baseline	43	44	72	71	85	-	63	40	43	57	58	67	57	65
District 2024 Goal	59	60	75	74	88	70	69	50	59	66	67	70	66	70
District Increase 2019 to 2021	4	4	1	1	1	2	2	3	4	2	2	1	2	2
District Increase 2019 to 2024	16	16	3	3	3	5	6	10	16	9	9	3	9	5

Haggar

	Haggar - STAAR Grade 4 Writing													
The percer	The percent of 4th grade students that score Meets grade level or above on STAAR Writing Grade 4 will increase from 44% in 2019 to 46% by June 2021.													
					Voarly	[,] Target	Goale							
2020			2024		геану		Guais		2022			2024		
2020			2021			2022		2023				2024		
	45% 2019 Baseline: 44% 46%		48%		50%		52%							
			Closir	ng the G	iaps Stu	ıdent G	roups Y	early Ta	argets					
	African American	Hispanic	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	Two or More Races		Eco. Disadv.	Special Ed (Former)	EL	Cont. Enrolled	Non-Cont. Enrolled	All
2019 Baseline	7	50	56	*	40	*	67	44	34	*	22	53	31	44
2020	8	52	56	*	40	*	68	45	36	*	23	53	32	45
2021	11	54	57	*	41	*	69	46	39	*	25	54	34	46
2022	14	58	57	*	41	*	71	48	43	*	28	54	36	48
2023	18	62	58	*	42	*	73	51	48	*	31	55	39	50
2024	23	68	60	*	44	*	76	54	54	*	36	57	42	52
2019-2021	4	4	1	*	1	*	2	2	5	*	3	1	3	2
2019 Baseline	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	10
2020	1	2	0	1	0	1	1	1	2	1	1	0	1	1
2021	4	4	1	4	1	2	2	2	5	3	3	1	3	2
2022	7	8	1	7	1	4	4	4	9	5	6	1	5	4
2023	11	12	2	11	2	6	6	7	14	8	9	2	8	6
2024	16	18	4	16	4	8	9	10	20	11	14	4	11	8

	Haggar - STAAR Grade 5 Science													
The percen	The percent of 5th grade students that score Meets grade level or above on STAAR Science Grade 5 will increase from 54% in 2019 to 56% by June 2021.													
	Yearly Target Goals													
2020	2020 2021			2022 2023			2024							
55%														
2019 Baseline: S	54%		56%			57%		58%		59%				
			Closir	ng the G	aps Stu	ident G	roups Y	earlv Ta	rgets					
	African			American		Pacific	Two or			Special Ed		Cont.	Non-Cont.	
	American	Hispanic	White	Indian	Asian	Islander	More Races	Special Ed	Eco. Disadv.	(Former)	EL	Enrolled	Enrolled	All
2019 Baseline	20	56	61	*	80	*	*	17	38	*	50	67	27	54
2020	21	57	61	*	80	*	*	18	39	*	51	67	28	55
2021	24	60	61	*	80	*	*	19	42	*	52	67	29	56
2022	27	62	62	*	81	*	*	21	45	*	53	68	31	57
2023	31	66	62	*	81	*	*	24	49	*	55	68	33	58
2024	36	71	63	*	82	*	*	27	54	*	58	69	36	59
2019-2021	4	4	0	*	0	*	*	2	4	*	2	0	2	2
2019 Baseline	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
2020	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	0	1	1
2021	4	4	0	0	0	2	1	2	4	2	2	0	2	2
2022	7	6	1	1	1	3	2	4	7	4	3	1	4	3
2023	11	10	1	1	1	4	4	7	11	6	5	1	6	4
2024	16	15	2	2	2	5	6	10	16	9	8	2	9	5

CAMPUS APPENDIX

STATE MANDATES IMPLEMENTATION REFERENCE

Texas law and Board Policies mandate the following be addressed with strategies for improving student performance. To increase the LEA's ability to focus on a limited number of targeted initiatives in this improvement plan, the LEA will plan, implement, monitor and evaluate the following mandates through other procedures and practices. When requested, the LEA Person Responsible will report progress to the site-based committee.

LEA Person Responsible for update	Mandate	Reference	Location of Documentation
Executive Director for Student & Family Services	Bullying Staff Prevention Identify high risk areas Monitor high risk areas Follow campus rules/expectations Staff Education Participate in annual staff training on bullying/sexual harassment/suicide prevention/trauma informed practices/human trafficking Review referral process Staff Intervention Establish recommended intervention strategies for classroom/campus Implement campus referral plan Utilize Discipline Management strategies Student Prevention Clearly state student expectations/campus rules/citizenship Monitor high risk areas Student Education Explain referral process/contacts Anonymous Tip Line Student Intervention Apply classroom interventions	TEC 11.252(a)(3)(E)	The school will follow the Student Handbook and Board Policies: FFI, FDB, FFF, FFH, FO, CQA, and FFB.

	 Employ discipline interventions Use other intervention strategies as necessary/appropriate Conference with parents/students 		
Principal	 Coordinated Health Program Coordinated School Health K-8 Designate a Campus Wellness Captain and establish a Campus Wellness Team; set meetings, establish measurable goals and document progress toward goal completion. K-8 Include at least one Parent on Campus Wellness Team. K-8 Ensure that all components of the Coordinated School Health curriculum are delivered in an appropriate setting, i.e. classroom component requires use of a classroom. K-8 Create a Coordinated School Health bulletin board inside the school building for students, staff and parents to view. K-8 Notify parents/community members of Family Wellness Nights/Health Fairs through use of marquee, newsletter, web page, and/or myPISD. Fitness 3-8 Pre and Post Assess all eligible students using fitness test components. 4th and 7th Ensure all data for 3rd - 8th grade students is entered on timely basis, fitness report cards are printed (4th grade and 7th grade) and sent to parents or linked through myPISD. Include at least one Parent on Campus Wellness Team. Physical Activity Requirements K-8 Campuses Only: Ensure students are receiving required physical education classes/minutes for each school year and achieving moderate to vigorous physical activity (MVPA) 50% of the physical education class period. K-8 Measure MVPA and physical activity time 	TEC 11.253(d) Board Policy FFA(Local)	The school will follow Board Policies: FFA and EHAA.

	 using pedometers and heart rate monitors. K-8 Ensure physical education staff is using a sequential and developmentally appropriate curriculum which has students active at least 70%-90% of class time. K-5 Ensure students are receiving daily unstructured play during recess. K-5 Encourage opportunities for brain breaks and short activity breaks throughout the day. Attendance K-8 Monitor attendance of students and follow up on prominent and chronic absences. 		
Principal	 Recruiting Certified Teachers and Highly-Qualified Paraprofessionals Local on-going high quality professional development based on campus needs or district identified needs is provided to all teachers in all core subject areas. Funding source: State and Local 	ESSA	
Principal	 Parent Involvement Require all parents to register students via Parent Portal in order to have access to eNews, grades, attendance, and other electronic information. Funding Sources: SCE, Title I and Local Identify parents without computer/Internet access and offer assistance through the District Mobile Technology Lab for parent education and access. Funding Sources: SCE, Title I and Local Upgrade and maintain the campus website for easy access and increased communication with the community. Funding source: State and Local Communicate information through eNews and through hard copies when Internet access is not available. Funding source: State and Local Utilize social media to keep parents and 		

 community informed. Funding source: State and Local PTA representative meets with the principal on a monthly basis to gain insight to student/parent needs. Funding source: State and Local Partner with PTA to offer parental programs on a variety of topics (academic, social, etc). Fundir source: State and Local Parent Education programs focused on relevant topics of interest will be available upon request b any campus or PTA 	ng
---	----